REAPPRAISAL OF *GYMNOCOLEA* AND DESCRIPTION OF A NEW GENUS *RUDOLGAEA* (ANASTROPHYLLACEAE, MARCHANTIOPHYTA) ПЕРЕСМОТР РОДА *GYMNOCOLEA* И ОПИСАНИЕ НОВОГО РОДА *RUDOLGAEA* (ANASTROPHYLLACEAE, MARCHANTIOPHYTA)

ALEXEY D. POTEMKIN¹ & ANNA A. VILNET²

Алексей Д. Потемкин¹, Анна А. Вильнет²

Abstract

A review of the taxonomic composition of the genus *Gymnocolea* is provided. Among 15 species attributed to *Gymnocolea*, only 3 species are recognized within the genus. Their morphological and molecular analyses by *rbcL*, *trnL*-F cpDNA and ITS1-2 nrDNA sequences resulted in the transfer of *G*. *borealis* and *G*. *fascinifera* in a new genus *Rudolgaea* named after Rudolf M. Schuster and Olga M. Schuster. Thus, *Gymnocolea* appears to be the monospecific genus with only *G*. *inflata*.

Резюме

В результате обзора таксономического состава рода *Gymnocolea* показано, что из 15 относимых к этому роду видов в настоящее время признаются только 3 вида: *G. borealis, G. fascinifera* и *G. inflata.* Их морфологический и молекулярный анализ по последовательностям *rbcL, trnL*-F хпДНК и ITS1-2 ядДНК привел к выделению *G. borealis* и *G. fascinifera* в новый род *Rudolgaea*, названный в честь Rudolf M. Schuster и Olga M. Schuster. Таким образом, *Gymnocolea* становится одновидовым родом с единственным видом *G. inflata.*

KEYWORDS: Gymnocolea, hepatics, liverworts, DNA barcoding, systematics

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Gymnocolea* (Dumort.) Dumort. based on the section *Gymnocolea* Dumort. of the genus *Jungermannia* L. was established by Dumortier with brief diagnosis in French "Périchèze nul. Colésule dressée stepetée, retrécie and dentée au sommet" and three species *Gymnocolea fluitans* (Nees) Dumort., *G. inflata* (Huds.) Dumort., and *G. laxifolia* (Hook.) Dumort.

Later Spruce (1882) established the genus *Hygrobiella* (Hook.) Spruce, based on *Jungermannia laxifolia* Hook. [\equiv *Gymnocolea laxifolia* (Hook.) Dumort.] and the section *Cladopus* Spruce of the genus *Cephalozia* (Dumort.) Dumort. for *Jungermannia fluitans* Nees [\equiv *Gymnocolea fluitans* (Nees) Dumort.] and *J. francisci* Hook. Afterward, Buch (1927: 89) established the genus *Cladopodiella* instead of the section *Cladopus*, which is presently treated as the section *Cladopodiella* (H. Buch) Gradst. et al. of the genus *Odontoschisma* (Dumort.) Dumort. (Aranda *et al.*, 2014).

Since the description of *Gymnocolea*, 15 species were attributed to this genus (https://tropicos.org, accessed 11 Oct 2021). Besides the three species mentioned above, *Gymnocolea affinis* Dumort., *G. arenaria* (Nees) Dumort., and *G. huebeneriana* (Nees) Dumort. proved to be synonyms of *Mesoptychia turbinata* (Raddi) L. Söd-

erstr. & Váňa, *Lophoziopsis excisa* (Dicks.) Konstant. & Vilnet, and *Hygrobiella laxifolia*, respectively (Müller, 1954a,b); *Gymnocolea acutiloba* (Schiffn.) Müll. Frib., *G. marginata* (Steph.) S. Hatt., *G. montana* (Horik.) S. Hatt., *G. soerensenii* Kaal. ex Jørg. are morphologically similar to *G. inflata* and presently treated as its synonyms; *G. andina* Buchloh, *G. cylindriformis* (Mitt.) Steere, and *G. multiflora* (Steph.) R.M. Schust. belong to the genus *Gymnocoleopsis* (R.M. Schust.) R.M. Schust. Thus, for now only three species of the genus were accepted, that is *G. borealis* (Frisvoll & Moen) R.M. Schust., *G. fascinifera* Potemkin and *G. inflata* (Bánki *et al.*, 2021b). The relation of *G. borealis* and *G. inflata* was firstly supported from molecular evidence by Cailliau *et al.* (2013).

In 1994, the first author discussed with R.M. Schuster the taxonomic position of *G. fascinifera* which is characterized by unique origin of rhizoids from the ventral leaf base compared with other Lophoziaceae Cavers. R.M. Schuster supposed that *G. fascinifera* could represent a separate genus from *Gymnocolea*. The question remained open because no data on perianth and sporophytes of this species were available.

Potemkin (2003), following Kitagawa (1965), suggested the origin of the family Jungermanniaceae from the Lophozioid ancestor and the position of *Gymnocolea*

¹ – Komarov Botanical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Professor Popov Str., 2, St. Petersburg, 197376 Russia; e-mail: potemkin_alexey@binran.ru; ORCID: 0000-0003-4420-1704

² – Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden, Kola Science Centre, Russian Academy of Sciences, Apatity, Murmansk Province, Russia; email: anya_v@list.ru; ORCID: 0000-0001-7779-2593

in the Jungermaniaceae. The origin of rhizoids from the ventral leaf base in separate species of Jungermanniaceae supposed their relationship with *Gymnocolea fascinifera*. Subsequent molecular studies have shown a remote position of the genus *Gymnocolea* from the Jungermanniaceae s.l. (Vilnet *et al.*, 2010). However, no molecular study of *G. fascinifera* has been made, and Schuster's question about the taxonomic position of *G. fascinifera* was unanswered.

The recent find of *G. borealis* in Russia (Potemkin *et al.*, 2021) initiated us to make a joint morphological and molecular study of available materials of *G. fascinifera* to compare these two species and clarify their phylogenetic affinity.

MATERIAL AND METHODS Sampling for morphological studies

The morphological study was based on the light microscopic investigation of extensive collections of *G. fascinifera* cited by Potemkin (1993), and other collections, the selected representative being as follow: *Gymnocolea borealis*. Norway: 1.X.1968 *Moen* (H); *Moen 79510* (H); Russia: Gydansky Peninsula, *Troeva G1-138* (LE). *Gymnocolea fascinifera*. Russia: Republic of Komi, 24.VII.1963 A. Katenin (LE); Bely Island, 27.VII.1984, *O.V. Rebristaya 21* (LE); Yamal Peninsula, 19.VII.1978, *O.V. Rebristaya 7A* (LE); USA: Alaska, 28.VII.1992, *Potemkin 92-9701* (LE, holotype).

DNA extraction, PCR, sequencing

To test the phylogenetic affinity of *G. fascinifera* we selected ITS1-2 nrDNA, *trn*L-F, and *rbc*L cpDNA sequence data for 46 specimens of liverworts from the suborder Cephaloziineae available in GenBank. The ITS1-2, *trn*L-F and *rbc*L loci for specimen of *G. fascinifera* from Alaska, ITS1-2 and *trn*L-F loci for specimen of *G. borealis* from the Gydansky Peninsula were sequenced here. Our attempts to obtain nucleotide sequence data for two specimens of *G. fascinifera* gathered from the Yamal Peninsula in 1978 and 1984 had no success. The list of specimens with voucher details and GenBank accession numbers are shown in Table 1.

DNA was extracted from dried liverwort tissue with DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany). For amplification and sequencing of ITS1-2, trnL-F, and rbcL the primers suggested by White et al. (1990), Taberlet et al. (1991), and Kress & Erickson (2007), respectively, were used. PCR was carried out in 20 µl volumes with the following amplification cycles: 3 min at 94°C, 30 cycles (30 s 94°C, 40 s 56°C (ITS1-2, trnL-F), 52°C (rbcL), 60 s 72°C), and 2 min of final extension time at 72°C. Amplified fragments were visualized on 1% agarose TAE gels by EthBr staining, purified using the Cleanup Mini (Evrogen, Russia), and then used as a template in sequencing reactions with the ABI Prism BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Biosystems, U.S.A.) following the standard protocol provided for 3100 Avant Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA).

Phylogenetic analyses

The newly obtained nucleotide sequences for G. fascinifera and G. borealis were assembled and then included in the produced datasets in BioEdit 7.0.1 (Hall, 1999). The automatical alignment procedure was done with the option of full multiple alignment with default settings for gaps and extension weights in the ClustalW tool. Then obtained dataset was manually corrected, the part of ITS1-2 at the 5'-end and P8 stem-loop region of trnL-intron were excluded from alignment due to ambiguously aligned positions, absent data at the ends of regions, and absent loci were coded as missing. The preliminary phylogenetic estimation revealed congruence between three studied loci. The ITS1-2 and trnL-F sequence data were cited from single specimen of each species, whereas rbcL from other specimens, thus combination of all three loci in single dataset would be incorrect. Thus, two datasets were produced, ITS1-2+trnL-F and rbcL.

Phylogeny was estimated by three procedures for each dataset: maximum parsimony (MP) with TNT v. 1.5 (Goloboff & Catalano, 2016), maximum likelihood (ML) with PhyML v. 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010), and Bayesian reconstruction with MrBayes v. 3.2.1 (Ronquist et al., 2012). The parsimony analysis with TNT involved a New Technology Search for the minimal length tree by five iterations and 1000 bootstrap replicates, default settings were used for other parameters, gaps were treated as missing. The program ModelGenerator (Keane et al., 2006) identified GTR+I+Γ as the best-fitting evolutionary model for ITS1-2+trnL-F dataset and TN+I+ Γ for the rbcL dataset. According to the stopping frequency criterion for the bootstrap (Pattengale et al., 2010), the ITS1-2 dataset requires 550 replicates to reach convergence with Pearson average n100 = 0.993802 as estimated by RAxML v. 7.2.6 (Stamatakis, 2006), the rbcL dataset - 500 replicates with n100 = 0.992292. The recommended models, number of bootstrap replicates, gamma distribution with four rate categories to estimate among-site rate heterogeneity were used in the maximum likelihood estimation for both datasets.

For the Bayesian analysis, each partition of the combined dataset (ITS1-2, trnL-F) and rbcL dataset was separately assigned the GTR+I+F model that was recommended by the authors of the program; gamma distributions were approximated using four rate categories. Two independent runs of the Metropolis-coupled MCMC were used to sample parameter values in proportion to their posterior probability. Each run included three heated chains and one unheated, and two starting trees were chosen randomly. Chains were run for five million generations and trees were sampled every 1000th generation. The software tool Tracer (Rambaut & Drummond, 2007) revealed effective sample size (ESS) for ITS1-2+trnL-F as 15323.9952 and auto-correlation time (ACT) as 1174.7589, ESS for rbcL was 14663.5558 and ACT -1227.6695. As determined by Tracer, the first 500 trees

~	
-fr	
Ĕ	
$\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{l}}$	
S.	
hi.	
r t	
ō,	
Ť	
1y	
R	
e	
8	
рe	
ŭ,	
ñ	
цĘ	
ğ	
se	
o	
<u>G</u>	
M	
-	
lc.	
õ	
1	
Ξ.	
ŝ	
n	
ij	
SS	
Se	
ŭ	
а	
Ľ.	
Se	
nl	
Π	
ū	
ц	
10	
S	
es	
2	
ac	
~~	
Ink	
3ank	
nBank	
ienBank	
GenBank	
h GenBank	
ith GenBank	
with GenBank	
n with GenBank	
ion with GenBank	
ation with GenBank	
nation with GenBank	
imation with GenBank	
stimation with GenBank	
estimation with GenBank	
ic estimation with GenBank	
etic estimation with GenBank	
metic estimation with GenBank	
genetic estimation with GenBank	
ogenetic estimation with GenBank	
ylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
hylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
d in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
ed in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
uded in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
sluded in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
ncluded in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
ss, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
ies, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
scies, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
pecies, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
² species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
t of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
ist of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
; list of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
he list of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
The list of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
. The list of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
1. The list of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
le 1. The list of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
able 1. The list of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	
Table 1. The list of species, included in phylogenetic estimation with GenBank	

	Specimen voucher	nr ITS1-2	cp trnL-F	$\operatorname{cp} rbc\mathrm{L}$
Adeianinus lindenvergianus (LEIIIII.) MIIII.	CIIIE, HOIZ, 22 (UCE I) Argentina, Drehwald, 970083 (NY)	-		– KF852342
Anastrepta orcadensis (Hook.) Schiffin.	Russia, Buryatiya Rep., N. Konstantinova, 59-1-01 (KPABG) China Yunnan D Long 34711 (F)	DQ875126 _	DQ875088 _	– K F852268
Anastrophyllum assimile (Mitt.) Steph. Barbilophozia barbata (Schmidel ex Schreb.) Loeske	USA, N. Konstantinova, A 137-18-95 (KPABG) Netherlands, N. Konstantinova, 3b-5-99 (KPABG) Buloaria, Hentschel Ryco 0753 (GOFT)	EU791776 EU791779 -	EU791664 EU791676 -	- - DO312477
Chandonanthus sp. Crossocalyx hellerianus (Nees ex Lindenb.) Meyl. Cylindrocolea recurvifolia (Steph.) Inoue	China, He-Nygren, 492, 909, 909, 909, 909, 909, 909, 900	 EU791788 	AY463554 AY327780 JX630061	
<i>Gymnocolea inflata</i> (Huds.) Dumort.	opan, 1. ranaguon (r.) Norway, Svalbard, N. Konstantinova, 118-1-04 (KPABG), 1 Russia: Nizhegordskaya Prov., Konstantinova, 129-2a-03 (KPABG), 2 Urbiod Vincolcon, A. Collino, 2	 GQ220783	 EU791661 GQ220785	KF852306 - -
Gymnomitrion commutatum (Limpr.) Schiffin.	Omied Kungdom, A. Calinau et al., 3 Russia, Sakhalin Prov, Kuril Isl, Iturup I., V. Bakalin, K-58-30-05, 110149 (VBGI) China, Yunnan, D. Long, 34684 (DUKE)	_ EU791827 _	_ EU791706 _	KF943624
Hamatostrepta concinna Váňa & D.G.Long. Hattoria yakushimensis (Horik.) R.M.Schust. Isopaches bicrenatus (Schmidel ex Hoffm.) H.Buch	Myanmar, D. Long, 34854 (DUKE) Japan, T. Katagiri, 4281 (NICH) Russia, Yakutiya Rep., V. Bakalin, 18.VII.2000 (KPABG)	– – EU791797	– LC376049 AY327788	KF852407 LC376047 - ve952304
Lophoziopsis excisa (Dicks.) Konstant. & Vilnet	Doray, Vermont, D. Shaw, 0970 (DONE) Norway, Svalbard, N.A. Konstantinova, K-21-2-05 (KPABG) Unived Vendorm Society of Tome 25611 (E)	_ DQ875093	 DQ875058	NF 072704
Neoorthocaulis attenuatus (Mart.) L.Söderstr., De Roo & Hedd.	omeu exuguon, ocurant, D. Doug, 5001 (E) Russia, Sakhalinskaya Prov, Harpel, Cherdantseva, 105728 (KPABG) Poland. Strebel. 226 (GOET)	 EU727538 	_ EU722343 _	KC184733
Neoorthocaulis floerkei (F.Weber & D.Mohr) L.Söderstr., De Roo & Hedd. Novellia curvifolia (Dicks.) Mitt.	Canada, British Columbia, B. Shaw, F699 (DUKE) Russia, Caucasus, N. Konstantinova, K 123-2-09 (KPABG) Dominican Demolic Son Jose Accoss Deve. Schooler Jonuming & Varvium, 28885 (M)		_ JX629994	KF851621 - k ynoroir
Odontoschisma fluitans (Nees) L.Söderstr. & Váňa	Dominical republic, san 305 de Oco 110%, Schaeter - Verwing & Verwing, 2005 (M) Russia, Murmansk Prov., Yu. Mamontov, YUSM-36-2011/1 (KPABG) Germany Ravern Schaefer-Krwinn & Verwinn 16482 (M)		 	- - KX098927
Plicanthus birmensis (Steph.) R.M. Schust. Plicanthus hirtellus (F.Weber) R.M.Schust. Pseudolonhors enderico (Nees ex Huebener) Konstant & Vilnet	Russia, Primorskiy, Kray, V. Bakalin, P-76-5-05 (KPABG) China, Yunnan, D. Long, 34407 (E) Czech Remuhlic, B. Shaw, 19901 (DITKF)	EU791791 	EU791668 	
Rudolgaea borealis (Frisvoll & Moen) Potenkin & Vilnet	Russia, Gydansky Peninsula, E.T. Troeva, G1-138 (LE), 1 Sweden, A. Cailliau, T. Hallingbacck, 2	MZ343174 _	MZ353627 _	MZ032229 JX305563
Rudolgaea fascinifera (Potemkin) Potemkin & Vilnet Scapania undulata (L.) Dumort.	USA: Alaska, A. Potemkin, 92-9701 (LE) Russia, Murmanskaya Prov., N. Konstantinova, 208-2-02 (KPABG) Italv. Schaefer-Verwinn and Verwinn, 27551(GOFT)	MZ297375 EU791751 -	MZ298895 EU791642 -	MZ298896 - KC184758
Schizophyllopsis sphenoloboides (R.M.Schust.) Văña & L.Söderstr. Schljakovia kunzeana (Huebener) Konstant. & Vilnet Schljakovianthus quadrilobus (Lindb.) Konstant. & Vilnet	Norway, Svalbard, N. Konstantinova, K 50-3-06 (KPABG) Russia, Murmanskaya Prov., N. Konstantinova, 181-02 (KPABG) Russia, Tuva Rep., T. Omyukova, V. Bakalin, 100805 (KPABG) USA: Alvaska, B. Shaw, F982/08 (DUJKF)	EU791777 EU727544 EU791786 -	EU791662 EU722349 EU791666 -	
Sphenolobus minutus (Schreb. ex D.Crantz) Berggr. Sphenolobus saxicola (Schrad.) Steph. Syzygiella autumnalis (DC.) K.Feldberg, Váňa, Hentschel & Heinrich	Norway, Svalbard, N. Konstantinova, K 68-1-06 (KPABG) Czech Republic, N. Bohemia, B. Buryova, 26.9.1995 (DUKE) s Russia, Buryatiya Rep., N. Konstantinova, 103-1-01 (KPABG)	EU791789 - EU791845	EU791667 - EU791721	– KF851620 – V E857387
Tetralophozia filiformis (Steph.) Urmi	Corry, Vennont, D. Shaw, 2007 (2010) Russia, Buryatiya Rep., N. Konstantinova, 13-24-01 (KPABG) China, Yunnan, B. Shaw, 57900 (DUKE)	 	EU791669 -	KF852352 - KF852352
Vietnamiella epiphytica Bakalin & Vilnet	Vietnam, Lao Cai Prov., V. Bakalin, V-9-7-17 (VBGI, KPABG), 1	MK277316	MK290984	MK290986



in each run were discarded as burnin, thereafter 9000 trees were sampled from both runs for each dataset. The average standard deviation of split frequencies between two runs for ITS1-2+*trn*L–F was 0.004813, for *rbc*L 0.003465. Bayesian posterior probabilities were calculated from trees sampled after burn-in.

The infrageneric variability of ITS1-2, trnL-F, and rbcL for the family Anastrophyllaceae were calculated as the average pairwise *p*-distances in Mega 5.1 (Tamura *et al.*, 2011) using the pairwise deletion option for counting gaps.

RESULTS

Molecular results

For *Gymnomitrion fascinifera* ITS1-2, *trn*L-F, and *rbc*L nucleotide sequences were newly obtained, for *G*.

borealis ITS1-2 and *trn*L-F (Table 1). The combined ITS1-2+*trn*L-F alignment for 27 specimens consists of 1373 sites, among them, 977 sites belong to ITS1-2 and 396 sites to *trn*L-F. The number of conservative positions in ITS1-2 and *trn*L-F is 461 (47.18%) and 235 (59.34%), respectively, the number of variable positions is 352 (36.03%) and 132 (33.33%), and the number of parsimony-informative positions is 192 (19.65%) and 67 (16.91%). In the *rbc*L alignment of 26 specimens with 1120 positions, there are 828 (73.93%) conservative sites, 262 (23.39%) variable sites, and 142 (12.67%) parsimony informative positions.

The MP analysis with TNT yielded 5 equally parsimonious trees with a length of 1715 steps, with CI = 0.617857 and RI = 0.436842 for the ITS1-2+*trn*L-F



dataset. The MP calculations for *rbc*L resulted in 7 equally parsimonious trees with a length of 567 steps, with CI = 0.557407 and RI = 0.449309. The ML criterion recovered a tree with a Log likelihood -7221.21 for ITS1-2+trnL-F and -4422.13 for *rbc*L. Arithmetic means of Log likelihoods in Bayesian analysis for each sampling run were -6968.49 and -6969.90 for ITS1-2+trnL-F and -4181.88 and -4182.04 for the *rbc*L dataset.

The tree topologies achieved in all estimations from both datasets became highly congruent with each other. In Fig. 1 the tree topology from ML analysis of ITS1-2+*trn*L-F is presented with ML and MP bootstrap support values (BS) and Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP) for each node. In Fig. 2 the ML tree for the *rbc*L dataset with BS from MP and ML calculations and PP from BA was provided. The backbone affinity within family Anastrophyllaceae is poorly supported in both calculations but similar with relations published in Bakalin *et al.* (2020). *Gymnocolea fascinifera* and *G. borealis* composed a clade in both trees: with 0.86 PP in ITS1-2+*trn*L and 0.96 PP in *rbc*L. In other estimation relation of both species has not got bootstrap support. The closest relatives to them appear to be recently described *Vietnamiella epiphytica* from Sino-Himalaya and *Hattoria yakushimensis* from Japan. The two specimens from remote localities of the type species of the genus *Gymnocolea* – *G. inflata* – are placed in a clade separated from *G. fascinifera* + *G. borealis* by several phyla with other genera.

The infraspecific *p*-distances calculation was provided for species G. borealis based on rbcL and G. inflata for ITS1-2, trnL-F and rbcL. The variability of rbcL between two samples of G. borealis from Sweden and the Gydansky Peninsula is 0.6%, the variability among specimens of G. inflata from Svalbard and the Nizhny Novgorod Region is 2.1% in ITS1-2 and 0.9% in trnL-F, between specimens from Svalbard and the United Kingdom is 0.4% by *rbcL* (data not shown). The multiplied samples of G. borealis and G. inflata were grouped and p-distances were calculated for the family Anasrophyllaceae (Tables 2a, b). The specimens of G. fascinifera and G. borealis are distinct from each other in the same range (5.3% in ITS1-2, 5.5% in trnL-F, 3.1% in rbcL or 5.3/5.5/3.1) as they both differ from G. inflata (4.4-5.2/ 6.6-7.8/3.5-4.4%). Taking into account position on phyTable 2. The value of *p*-distances between genera of family Anastrophyllaccae: a) based on ITS1-2 and *trnL*-F, b) based on *rbcL*. a)

Species	<i>p</i> -distances ITS1-2/ <i>trn</i> I	F, %												
	1 2 3	4	5 6	L	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1 Rudolgaea (Gymnocolea) fascinifera														
2 Rudolgaea (Gymnocolea) borealis	5.3/5.5													
3 Hattoria yakushimensis	-/5.8 -/3.6													
4 Vietnamiella epiphytica	7.7/5.8 10.1/3.3 -/2.8													
5 Tetralophozia filiformis	5.3/5.6 6.6/3.6 -/2.2	7.7/1.9												
6 Plicanthus birmensis	6.2/5.3 6.5/4.7 -/4.2	8.6/3.0	4.3/2.8											
7 Chandonanthus sp.	-/5.0 -/3.8 -/3.2	-/2.6	-/2.9 -	/1.8										
8 Neoorthocaulis attenuatus	5.0/6.7 6.4/5.0 -/4.4	8.2/3.3	3.6/3.0 3	.9/3.6 -/3.2										
9 Gymnocolea inflata	4.4/7.8 6.2/6.6 -/6.9	7.6/6.5	4.3/6.4 4	.6/7.4 -/7.7	3.5/7	4.								
10 Schljakovia kunzeana	4.1/6.4 5.8/4.7 -/3.6	7.9/3.0	4.0/2.2 4	.4/3.3 -/2.9	3.3/3	.0 1.5/6.5								
11 Anastrophyllum assimile	6.2/6.7 6.7/5.8 -/5.0	8.2/4.4	4.9/3.6 4	.6/5.0 -/4.7	4.3/4	.7 4.0/7.9	4.0/2.8							
12 Schizophyllopsis sphenoloboides	5.0/7.5 7.0/6.1 -/5.3	8.1/4.7	4.4/3.9 4	.9/5.3 -/5.0	3.7/5	.0 4.0/8.2	3.9/3.0	3.2/1.4						
13 Schljakovianthus quadrilobus	5.9/7.5 6.4/5.5 -/4.4	8.4/4.4	4.3/2.8 4	.6/5.0 -/4.4	3.2/4	.7 3.9/8.1	3.9/2.8	4.2/3.6	3.6/3.9					
14 Sphenolobus minutus	5.0/6.1 5.5/4.5 -/3.2	7.5/3.5	3.6/2.2 4	.1/3.5 -/2.9	2.9/2	.9 3.1/7.7	2.8/1.3	3.8/3.2	3.5/3.5	3.2/2.6				
15 Anastrepta orcadensis	4.1/6.9 5.7/5.3 -/5.3	7.3/4.2	3.3/3.3 3	.9/4.4 -/4.1	2.7/4	.2 2.7/7.5	2.5/2.8	3.5/3.3	3.5/3.6	3.2/4.2	2.1/3.2			
16 Crossocalyx hellerianus	6.4/9.6 7.0/8.6 -/7.5	9.1/8.2	4.8/7.1 5	.2/7.9 -/7.5	4.0/7	.5 4.7/10.	64.4/5.7	5.4/7.5	5.1/7.5	4.2/6.4	3.8/5.7	3.3/7.9	-	
17 Barbilophozia barbata	6.5/5.3 7.3/3.9 -/3.9	8.2/3.3	5.0/2.8 4	.7/3.3 -/3.8	4.4/4	.2 4.6/5.7	4.5/3.3	4.5/.9	4.4/4.7	4.4/5.0	4.4/3.8	4.1/4.4	5.7/6.8	~
18 Isopaches bicrenatus	5.8/5.6 10.8/3.9 -/3.9	11.8/3.5	8.3/3.6 9	.1/4.6 -/3.9	8.2/4	P.7.7/6.8 6.	8.7/3.6	9.2/4.9	9.0/5.6	8.7/4.3	8.4/3.7	8.1/4.5	8.8/6.0	9.2/3.

/	7	
	5)

Species

2

Э

4 S

 2.8
 2.4
 2.6
 2.7
 3.6
 1.8
 1.7

 3.1
 2.6
 2.8
 3.0
 3.8
 1.9
 2.0
 0.6

 3.1
 2.4
 3.1
 1.8
 2.2
 2.2
 2.4

 3.1
 2.4
 3.1
 1.8
 2.2
 2.2
 2.4

 2.9
 3.6
 3.1
 3.4
 3.0
 3.1
 3.4
 3.0
 3.1
13 12 Ξ 10 3.1 3.4 2.1 3.6 2.6 1.9 3.6 2.6 1.9 3.6 2.1 2.1 2.6 4.1 2.5 2.8 2.9 2.3 3.8 2.4 2.9 2.9 2.7 2.6 4.4 3.5 3.0 4.1 3.1 3.4 3.4 3.1 1.6 2.3 2.9 2.2 2.5 1.9 2.9 3.4 1.8 2.1 2.6 2.0 2.1 1.8 3.1 1.3 3.8 2.4 2.5 2.8 2.4 2.6 2.7 3.6 1.8 1. 4.1 2.7 2.7 3.1 2.6 2.8 3.0 3.8 1.9 2.0 4.1 2.9 3.7 2.9 3.6 3.6 3.1 3.4 3.0 3.1 6 ∞ 9 2 % *p*-distances *rbc*L, 4 ς \sim _ Rudolgaea (Gymnocolea) fascinifera borealis Schljakovianthus quadrilobus Neoorthocaulis attenuatus Rudolgaea (Gymnocolea) Hamatostrepta concinna Hattoria yakushimensis Vietnamiella epiphytica Tetralophozia filiformis Barbilophozia barbata 11 Anastrepta orcadensis Sphenolobus saxicola **Isopaches** bicrenatus

Gymnocolea inflata

8 6

10

12 13

Plicanthus hirtellus

9 1

3



Fig. 3. *Rudolgaea fascinifera* (*Potemkin 92-9701*, LE, holotype). A, B: habit of plants. Scales:1 mm.

logenetic trees with supported relation to *Hattoria* and *Vietnamiella* only in BA estimation of *rbc*L data, level of molecular differentiation from *Gymnocolea*, *Hattoria* and *Vietnamiella* and morphological features clearly distingushed them from three cited genera, we suggested *G. fascinifera* and *G. borealis* as well-defined species that should be transferred from the genus *Gymnocolea* to a new genus described here.

Rudolgaea Potemkin & Vilnet, gen. nov. Figs. 3-6

Diagnosis: Being morpholgically distinct in habit, leaf shape and areolation from the related *Hattoria* and *Vietnamiella* it resembles *Gymnocolea inflata* and *Odontoschisma fluitans*. It differs from *Gymnocolea inflata* in the occurrence of some rhizoids or their fascicles from ventral leaf bases, lack of caducous perianths, outer cortical cells of larger shoots mostly \pm smaller than inner stem cells, often tangentially orientated and occasionally thick-walled. It is distinct from *Odontoschisma fluitans* in the origin of some rhizoids or their fascicles from ventral leaf bases, distinctly striolate-papillose leaf and stem surface, and terminal furcate branching. Type: *Rudolgaea fascinifera* (Potemkin) Potemkin & Vilnet (\equiv *Gymnocolea fascinifera* Potemkin).

Etymology. The genus bears the name of Prof. Rudolf Mathias Schuster (Rudy) and his wife, Olga Marguerite Schuster, his permanent companion and assistant for over 60 years, from their marriage in 1943 to her death in 2005.

Rudolgaea fascinifera (Potemkin) Potemkin & Vilnet, comb. nov. Figs. 3–5

Basionym: *Gymnocolea fascinifera* Potemkin, 1993, Arctoa 2: 76.

Description: Potemkin, 1993.

Illustrations: Potemkin, 1993: Figs 5, 6; this article: Figs. 3–5.

Distribution. Indefinite yet, probably undercollected and overlooked; recorded from the Yamal Peninsula, West Siberian Arctic and the Seward Peninsula, Alaska (Potemkin, 1993), Komi Republic (Potemkin, 2008), Chelyabinsk Region (Ivchenko & Potemkin, 2015), and probably from subarctic Yakutia (Sofronova *et al.*, 2015).



Fig. 4. Rudolgaea fascinifera (Potemkin 92-9701, LE, holotype).

A–D: leaves; E: dorsal cortical cells; F: basal leaf cells with striolate papillose surface; G: ventral leaf base with rhizoids. Scales: A, B: 160 μ m, C, D: 125 μ m, E, F: 25 μ m, G: 100 μ m.

Rudolgaea borealis (Frisvoll & Moen) Potemkin & Vilnet, comb. nov. Fig. 6

Basionym: *Lophozia borealis* Frisvoll & Moen, 1980, Lindbergia 6: 138. f. 1–3.

Descriptions: Frisvoll & Moen, 1980; Damsholt, 2002, 2013.

Illustrations: Schuster, 1969: Fig. 251: 14–18 as *Gymnocolea inflata* (illustrated specimen *RMS 45791* attributed to *G. borealis* by Schuster, 1986: 6); Frisvoll & Moen, 1980: Figs. 1–4; Damsholt, 2002: Plate 53, reprinted in Damsholt, 2013: Plate 46; Potemkin *et al.* (2021): Fig. 1.

Distribution. Arctic and subarctic, probably circumpolar, indefinite yet because of specific habitats.

KEY TO SPECIES OF *RUDOLGAEA* AND *GYMNOCOLEA*

- Pigmented plants yellow and golden brown, when wet lustrous, or scorched brown and not lustrous; some rhizoids or their fascicles, when present, originate from ventral leaf bases; cortical cells of larger shoots mostly ± smaller than inner stem cells and



Fig. 5. *Rydolgaea fascinifera* (*Potemkin 92-9701*, LE, holotype). A–C: cells of leaf lobe apex, leaf middle and base, respectively; D–F: stem transverse sections; G: ventral cortical cells of stem. Scales: A–C: 25 μm, D–F: 80 μm, G: 18 μm.

- Pigmented plants scorched brown, never lustrous; rhizoids very few or sparse, in more or less distinct fascicles from the ventral leaf base and adjacent part of the stem (leaves detach with rhizoids); leaf and stem surface faintly striolate papillose or smooth; leaf cells with (2-)5-12(-16) oil bodies; cortical cells broader, (20-)23-28(-30) µm wide or when subisodiametric (28-)30-34(-38) µm wide; stem (5-)6-8(-11) cells high; in acid wet habitats, including troughs of polygonal tundras, in Sphagnum tussock bog and bogs with flowing water, in herb-willow, grass-cotton grass and sedge-lichen-moss tundras, often among Drepanocladus s.l. and Sphagnum, with Scapania paludicola var. rotundiloba, Ptilidium ciliare, Pseudolepicolea fryei, Barbilophozia kunzeana, B. binsteadii, Gymnocolea inflata, Odontoschisma elongatum, Blepharostoma, etc. (Potemkin, 1993) Rudolgaea fascinifera



Fig. 6. Rudolgaea borealis (Troeva G1-138, LE). A, B: shoot sectors; C: lobe; D, F: stem transverse sections; E: basal leaf cells. Scale bars: for A, B: 750 μ m; C: 18 μ m; D, E: 30 μ m; F: 19 μ m. From Potemkin *et al.* (2021).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their careful reading of the manuscript and valuable comments. The advice of Alexander Sennikov on the correction of the Latin name of the genus is appreciated. The study was carried out within the framework of the institutional research project of Komarov Botanical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences 'Flora and taxonomy of algae, lichens and bryophytes in Russia and phytogeographically important regions of the world' (no. 121021600184-6). The work of A.D. Potemkin was partly supported by RFBR project 18-05-60093 "Арктика".

LITERATURE CITED

- ARANDA, S., S. GRADSTEIN, J. PATIÑO, B. LAENEN, A. DÉSA-MORÉ & A. VANDERPOORTEN. 2014. Phylogeny, classification and species delimitation in the liverwort genus *Odontoschisma* (Cephaloziaceae). – *Taxon* 63(5): 1008–1025.
- BAKALIN, V., A.VILNET & V.S. NGUYEN. 2020. Vietnamiella epi-

phytica – a new genus and species of Anastrophyllaceae (Hepaicae). – *The Bryologist* **123** (1): 48–63.

- BÁNKI, O., Y. ROSKOV, L. VANDEPITTE, R.E. DEWALT, D. REMS-EN, S P.CHALK, T. ORRELL, M. KEPING, J. MILLER, R. AALBU, R. ADLARD, E. ADRIAENSSENS, C. AEDO, E. AESCHT, N. AKKARI, M.A. ALONSO-ZARAZAGA, B. ALVAREZ, F. ALVAREZ, G. ANDERSON ET AL. 2021. Catalogue of Life Checklist (Version 2021-09-21). – Catalogue of Life. https://doi.org/10.48580/d4sv
- BUCH, H.R.V. 1927. Cladopodiella statt Cladopus. Memoranda Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica 1: 89–90.
- CAILLIAU, A, D.G. LONG, M.J. PRICE & M. PERRET. 2013. Phylogeny and systematic position of *Mesoptychia* (Lindb.) A. Evans. – *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **299**: 1243–1251.
- DAMSHOLT, K. 2002. Illustrated flora of Nordic Liverworts and Hornworts. - Lund, Nord. Bryol. Soc., 837 pp.
- DAMSHOLT, K. 2013. The liverworts of Greenland. Lund, Nord. Bryol. Soc., 626 pp.
- DUMORTIER, B.-C. 1835. Recueil d'observations sur les Jungermanniacées. Fasc. I – Revision des genres. – *Tournay : J.-A. Blanquart*, 27 pp.

- FRISVOLL, A.A. & A. MOEN. 1980. Lophozia borealis sp. nov., a rich fen hepatic from Fennoscandia. Lindbergia 6: 137–146.
- GOLOBOFF, P.A. & S. CATALANO. 2016. T.N.T. version 1.5, including a full implementation of phylogenetic morphometrics. – *Cladistics* **32**: *21–238*.
- GUINDON, S., J.F. DUFAYARD, V. LEFORT, M. ANISIMOVA, W. HORDIJK & O. GASCUEL. 2010. New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0. – Systematic Biology 59: 307–321.
- HALL, T.A. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. – Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41: 95–98.
- [IVCHENKO, T.G. & A.D. РОТЕМКІΝ] ИВЧЕНКО Т.Г. & А.Д. ПОТЕМКИН. 2015. Печеночники болотных экосистем южноуральского региона (в пределах Челябинской области). – [Liverworts of mire ecosystems of South Urals within Chelyabinsk Province] *Arctoa* 24: 574–583.
- KEANE, T.M., C.J. CREEVEY, M.M. PENTONY, T.J. NAUGHTON & J.O. McINERNEY. 2006. Assessment of methods for amino acid matrix selection and their use on empirical data shows that ad hoc assumption for choice of matrix are not justified. – *BMC Evolutionary Biolo*gy 6: 29.
- KITAGAWA, N. 1965. A revision of the family Lophoziaceae of Japan and its adjacent regions. 1. – *Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory* 28: 239–291.
- KRESS, W.J. & D.L. ERICKSON. 2007. A two-locus global DNA barcode for land plants: the coding *rbcL* gene complements the non-coding *trnH-psbA* spacer region. – *PLoS ONE* 2: e508.
- MÜLLER, K. 1954a. Die Lebermoose Europas (Dr. L. Rabenhorst's Kryptogamen-Flora von Deutschland, Österreich und der Schweiz, 3 Aufl., 6 Band), 1 Abth., 4 Lieferung. – Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft: Leipzig, pp. 481–640.
- MÜLLER, K. 1954b. Die Lebermoose Europas (Dr. L. Rabenhorst's Kryptogamen-Flora von Deutschland, Österreich und der Schweiz, 3 Aufl., 6 Band), 1 Abth., 5 Lieferung. – Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft: Leipzig, pp. 641–756.
- PATTENGALE, N.D., M. ALIPOUR, O.R.P. BININDA-EMONDS, B.M.E. MORET & A. STAMATAKIS. 2010. How many bootstrap replicates are necessary? – *Journal of Computational Biology* 17: 337– 354.
- POTEMKIN, A.D. 1993. The Hepaticae of the Yamal Peninsula, West Siberian Arctic. *Arctoa* **2**: 57–101.
- [POTEMKIN, A.D.] ПОТЕМКИН А.Д. 2003. К вопросу о путях эволюции и объеме семейств Jungermanniaceae и Lophoziaceae (Hepaticae). – [On evolutionary trends and circumscription of the families Jungermanniaceae and Lophoziaceae (Hepaticae)]. Ботанические исследования в азиатской России. Материалы XI съезда Русского ботанического общества (18–22 августа, Новосибирск–Бар-

наул)[Botanical studies in Asian Russia. Proceedings of XI Congress of Russian Botanical Society (18–22 August 2003, Novosibirsk – Barnaul)] 1: 214–216.

- [POTEMKIN, A.D.] ПОТЕМКИН А.Д. 2008. Новые находки печеночников в Республике Коми. 1. – [New liverwort records from Republic of Komi. 1] *Arctoa* 17: 198.
- POTEMKIN, A.D., A.A. VILNET, E.I. TROEVA & K.A. ERMOKHI-NA. 2021. *Gymnocolea borealis* (Anastrophyllaceae, Marchantiophyta) in Asia and Russia: morphology, ecology, distribution, and differentiation. – Novosti Sistematiki Nizshikh Rastenii 55(2): 487–494.
- RAMBAUT, A. & A.J. DRUMMOND. 2007. Tracer v1.4. Available from: http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer.
- RONQUIST, F., M. TESLENKO, P. van der MARK, D.L. AYRES, A. DARLING, S. HÖHNA, B. LARGET, L. LIU, M.A. SUCHARD & J.P. HÜLSENBECK. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. – Systematic Biology 61: 539–542.
- SCHUSTER, R.M. 1969. The Hepaticae and Anthocerotae of North America east of the hundredth meridian. Vol. 2. – New York; London, Columbia Univ. Press, 1062+xii pp.
- SCHUSTER, R.M. 1986. Gymnocolea borealis (Frisvoll & Moen) Schust. [Lophozia (Leiocolea) borealis Frisvoll & Moen] in North America. – Lindbergia 12: 5–8.
- SOFRONOVA, E.V., A.D. POTEMKIN, Yu.S. MAMONTOV & R.R. SOFRONOV. 2015. Liverworts of the Mus-Khaya Mountain (Yakutia, Asiatic Russia). – Arctoa 24: 156–164.
- SPRUCE, R. 1882. On Cephalozia (a genus of Hepaticae), its subgenera and some allied genera. – Malton: Printed for the author, 101 pp.
- STAMATAKIS, A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: Maximum Likelihood-based Phylogenetic Analyses with Thousands of Taxa and Mixed Models. – *Bioinformatics* 22: 2688–2690.
- TABERLET, P., L. GIELLY, G. PAUTOU & J. BOUVET. 1991. Universal primers for amplification of three non–coding regions of chloroplast DNA. – *Plant Molecular Biology* 17: 1105–1109.
- TAMURA, K., D. PETERSON, N. PETERSON, G. STECHER, M. NEI & S. KUMAR. 2011. MEGA 5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Method. – *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28: 2731–2739.
- VILNET, A.A., N. A. KONSTANTINOVA & A.V. TROITSKY. 2010. Molecular insight on phylogeny and systematics of the Lophoziaceae, Scapaniaceae, Gymnomitriaceae and Jungermanniaceae. – Arctoa 19: 31–50.
- WHITE, T.J., T. BRUNS, S. LEE & J. TAYLOR. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. – In: Innis, M. A., Gelfand, D. H., Snisky, J. J. & White, T. J. (eds.) PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. San Diego, pp. 315–322.

Received 28 July 2021 Accepted 12 November 2021