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Introduction

*Diphya* Nicolet, 1849 is a relatively small genus with only 14 valid species. It has a rather unusual distribution (Fig. 20): southern Brazil, southern Chile, southernmost Argentina, South Africa, Madagascar and South East Asia (Japan, Korea, Taiwan and northern China [WSC, 2017]. Álvarez-Padilla & Hormiga [2011] and Marusik & Kovblyuk [2011] also mentioned two undescribed species from Tanzania and Maritime Province of Russia, respectively.

The eye pattern easily distinguishes the genus from other Tetragnathidae: the very large eyes (all posterior and anterior lateral), widely spaced lateral eyes (anterior and posterior eyes almost touching in most of other genera). *Diphya* species can be easily recognized due to an anterior row of stiff inflexible setae on tibia-

ABSTRACT. Three species of *Diphya* Nicolet, 1849 found in South Africa are surveyed. *Diphya simoni* Kauri, 1950, the single named species known in the country is redescribed based on the holotype female from Limpopo Province. A new species, *D. tanikawai* sp.n. (∩) from Western Cape is described. One species represented by subadult specimens from Kwazulu Natal is illustrated. Distribution of the genus is mapped.

РЕЗЮМЕ: В работе рассмотрены 3 вида рода *Diphya* Nicolet, 1849 из ЮАР. *Diphya simoni* Kauri, 1950, единственный вид известный из ЮАР переописан на основе голотипа из провинции Лимпопо. Описан новый вид, *D. tanikawai* sp.n. (∩) из провинции Западный Кейп. Приводится иллюстрированное описание двух неполовозрелых экземпляров *Diphya* из провинции Квазулу Натал. Необычное распространение рода показано на карте.

Material and methods

Specimens were photographed using an Olympus Camedia E-520 camera attached to an Olympus SZX16 stereomicroscope at the Zoological Museum, University of Turku. Digital images were montaged using CombineZP image stacking software. Epigyne was cleared in a KOH/water solution until soft tissues were dissolved. Photographs were taken in dishes with cotton paraffin on the bottom to hold the specimens in position. All measurements are given in millimeters. Lengths of leg segments were measured on the dorsal side. Leg measurements are given in the following sequence: total (femur patella tibia metatarsus tarsus). Abbreviations used for spination and leg segments are as follows: d — dorsal, fe — femur, mt — metatarsus, pa — patella, p — prolateral, r — retrolateral, ta — tarsus, ti — tibia, v — ventral.

Fig. 1–9. General appearance of *Diphyta* tanikawai sp.n. (1–6) and *D. simoni* (holotype, 7–9): 1–2, 7 — habitus, dorsal; 3, 8 — habitus, lateral; 4, 9 — ventral; 5–6 prosoma, anterior and latero-anterior, showing eye pattern and rows of strong inflexible setae. 1, 3 — paratype; 2, 4–6 — holotype.

Рис. 1–9. Внешний вид *Diphyta* tanikawai sp.n. (1–6) и *D. simoni* (holotype, 7–9): 1–2, 7 — габитус, сверху; 3, 8 — габитус, сбоку; 4, 9 — габитус, снизу; 5–6 — головогрудь, спереди и сбоку-спереди, показано глазное поле и ряд шипов. 1, 3 — паратип; 2, 4–6 — голотип.
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Fig. 10–12. Habitus of subadults of Diphyia sp. from KwaZulu Natal: 10–11 — subadult male, dorsal and ventral; 12 — subadult female, dorsal. Scale = 0.2 mm. Abbreviations: Cп undeveloped cymbial process.

Taxonomy

Diphyina Simon, 1894

Diphyina Simon, 1894: 742.

Diphyinae Simon, 1894

Diphyæ Simon, 1894: 742.

NOTE. Simon [1894] placed Diphyæ in Tetragnathinae. At that time Tetragnathidae was considered as subfamily of Araneidae. Besides Diphyæ Simon [1894] defined other tribes in Tetragnathinae: Meteæ (based on Meta C.L. Koch, 1836), Aziliaæ (based on Azilia Keyserling, 1881), Pachygnatheæ (based on Pachygnatha Sundevall, 1823, now considered to belong to the Tetragnathinae), as well as Nesticeæ and Cyatolipeæ (now considered as separate families).

The status of Diphyini has not previously been discussed and it has not so far been mentioned in any publication even dealing with groupings in the Tetragnathidae.

COMPOSITION. Simon [1894] included two genera in Diphyini, Diphyia and Dolichognatha O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1869.

Diphyia Nicolet, 1849


Type species: Diphyia macrophthalmia Nicolet, 1849, from southern Chile.

NOTE. The genus was globally revised by Tanikawa [1995] and recently it was re-diagnosed by Álvarez-Padilla & Hormiga [2011]. The genus description made by Álvarez-Padilla & Hormiga [2011] was based on D. spinifera Tullgren, 1902 from Chile. Álvarez-Padilla & Hormiga [2011] indicated female body length as “c. 0.5 mm”, while Tullgren [1902] mentioned that length of the female is 4.2 mm. The total length of all species considered in the genus (based on literature data) is 2.3–4.2 mm.

Exact placement of Diphyia within Tetragnathidae remains uncertain. There are several recent publications discussing the relationships of tetragnathids and Diphyia particularly [Álvarez-Padilla 2007; Álvarez-Padilla et al. 2009; Álvarez-Padilla & Hormiga 2011]. For some reason Simon’s [1894] taxa Diphyini and Aziliini are not discussed there. Álvarez-Padilla [2007] placed Diphyia in the Tetragnathinae, but later Álvarez-Padilla et al. [2009] considered genus in the Metainae (replacement name for Metinae). Álvarez-Padilla & Hormiga [2011] kept Diphyia unplaced in any suprageneric taxon, but proposed it as a sister to the Tetragnathinae.

ECOLOGY. There are no literature data about the natural history of Diphyia species. Diphyia tanikawai sp. n. as well as Diphyia sp. from KwaZulu Natal were collected on the ground among grass. Undescribed Diphyia from the Russian Far East was collected either amongst reeds or grass. Most likely they do not build webs but catch their prey with help of a “basket” formed by the spiny first pair of legs. Shinkai [2006: 166] observed and photographed the web of Diphyia okumae Tanikawa, 1995 in Japan. This species makes a web close to the ground.

Species survey

Diphyia tanikawai sp.n.

Figs 1–6, 13–18

Diphyia capensis Simon, 1894: 744 (nomen nudum); Bonnet, 1956: 1477 (marked as nomen nudum).
Fig. 13–19. Epigyne of holotypes of *Diphya tanikawai* sp.n. (13–18) and *D. simoni* (19): 13–14 — intact epigyne, ventral and ventro-caudal; 15–16, 19 — macerated epigyne, anterior and posterior; 17–18 — macerated epigyne, dorsal and ventro-lateral. 19 — after Kauri [1950]. Scale = 0.2 mm if indicated. Abbreviations: *As* — anterior part of septum, *Bs* — basal part of septum, *Ps* — pockets of *As*.

TYPES. Holotype ♀ (NCA) and paratype ♂ (NCA), South Africa, Western Cape, Cape Town, Table Mt, ca 950–1000 m, 33.9608°S, 18.408307°E, Platteklip Gorge, among moist grass, 29.01.2017 (Yu.M. Marusik)

ETYMOLOGY. The specific name is a patronym in honour of Akio Tanikawa (Tokyo, Japan), a well known expert in araneoid spiders who revised the genus.

DIAGNOSIS. It is most similar to *D. simoni* Kauri, 1950, known from Limpopo Province of South Africa. *Diphya tanikawai* sp.n. differs by lighter colouration, patterns of sternum, carapace and abdomen (cf. Figs 1–4 and 7–9). The two species can most easily be separated by the venter of abdomen having white guanine spots in the new species which are lacking in *D. simoni*. At the same time *D. simoni* has wide blackish mark on the venter of abdomen which is lacking in the new species. Both species have similar shaped epigynes (cf. Figs 13–17 and 19), but because the epigyne in the holotype of *D. simoni* was lost I cannot compare them in detail.

DESCRIPTION. Female (holotype). Total length 3.25. Carapace 1.63 long, 1.15 wide; yellow brown, with dark brown spots behind the cephalic area, and short submarginal band in the thoracic part. Chelicera and mouth parts colored as carapace. Chelicera with 3 promarginal and 4 retromarginal teeth. Sternum yel-
low-brown patterned with a median dark band and marginal dark stripes. Legs lighter than carapace, with almost indistinct dark rings on the tibia-tarsi of legs I and II. The dark rings more distinct on legs III and IV. Abdomen whitish dorsally due to white guanine spots, with a pair of dark spots anteriorly; sides with blackish pigmentation; venter yellow-brown with white guanine spots between epigastrium and spinnerets. Spination of leg I (only flexible spines are indicated): femur p1; patella d1; tibia d2 p3 r1; metatarsus d1. Legs length: palp 1.46 (0.43 + 0.18 + 0.35 + 0.5), I 5.5 (1.45 + 0.59 + 1.25 + 1.40 + 0.83), II 4.99 (1.40 + 0.53 + 1.08 + 1.25 + 0.73), III 2.94 (0.88 + 0.35 + 0.60 + 0.68 + 0.43), IV 4.08 (1.38 + 0.40 + 0.85 + 0.95 + 0.50).

Epigyne as in Figs 13–18. Plate of epigyne about 1.5 wider than long, septum (Se) triangular in ventral-posterior view (Fig. 18) or droplet-shaped in ventral view (Fig. 13) composed of a transverse, weakly sclerotised basal part (Bs) and heavily sclerotised anterior part (As) bearing pockets (Ps); the anterior part extended ventrally (Fig. 18); receptacles round in anterior view, closely spaced (about 1/6 of diameter).

Male unknown.

NOTE. Simon [1894] while writing of the distribution of the genus mentioned in the text “Africa max. austr. (capensis E. Sim.).” Such “species” labeled as Diphy a capensis are housed in the Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle [Kauri, 1950] but the species was never described.

DISTRIBUTION AND HABITAT. The species is known from the type locality. Both specimens were collected among long grass in a moist, shaded gorge.

Diphy a simoni Kauri, 1950
Figs 7–9, 19

Diphy a simoni Kauri, 1950: 8, f. 6 (♀); Tanikawa, 1995: 110.

TYPE. Holotype ♂ (ZMLU, type no. 195: 1, reg. no. L948/3291), “South Africa, Transvaal, Pretorius Kop Camp, Krüger National Park, 4.11.1948 (Bertil Hanström)”. Holotype without epigyne, dissected epigyne was not found. Current name of Transvaal is Limpopo Province.

DIAGNOSIS. Diphy a simoni differs from D. tanikawai sp. n. by the shape of abdomen, colouration and pattern (cf. Figs 1–4 and 7–9). The posterior end of the abdomen is pointed vs. oval in D. tanikawai sp.n. The eye field darkened vs. light coloured. The two species can be easily separated by the ventral abdominal pattern, D. simoni has a dark median spot and lacks the white guanine spots, while D. tanikawai sp. n. has white guanine spots and lacks the black spot. Differences in epigynes are not clear because of the loss of the epigyne in the holotype.

DESCRIPTION. Female (holotype). Total length 3.0. Carapace 1.63 long, 1.13 wide.

Chelicera with 3 promarginal and 4 retromarginal teeth. Spination of legs (inflexible arranged in prolateral row not counted): I fe 1p, ti 1d 2p; II fe 1d, 1r, ti 1p, 1r; III fe 1d, pt 1d; IV pt 1d, ti 1d 1p. Legs length: palp 1.35 (0.43 + 0.15 + 0.30 + 0.48), I 5.09 (1.38 + 0.60 + 1.15 + 1.28 + 0.68), II 4.69 (1.33 + 0.55 + 0.68 + 0.43), III 2.94 (0.88 + 0.35 + 0.60 + 0.68 + 0.43), IV 4.08 (1.38 + 0.40 + 0.85 + 0.95 + 0.50).

Epigyne as in Fig. 19.
NOTE. The two subadult specimens male and female have pattern similar to both *Diphya* species known from South Africa by adults. They have distinct guanine spots like in *D. tanikawai* sp. n. but only on the dorsum of abdomen, and instead of guanine spots on venter they have black median spot like in *D. simoni*. The carapace pattern differs from both species, while pattern of the sternum resembles that of *D. simoni*. The immature male already have a rudimentary cymbial process (*Cp*, Fig. 11), as seen in all species of *Diphya*.

Both specimens are of small size, total length 1.75 and carapace about 0.85. Because of the small size they are not likely to be conspecific with either *D. tanikawai* sp. n. or *D. simoni*.
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