# New species of *Cocalus* C.L. Koch, 1846 and *Habrocestum* Simon, 1876 (Araneae: Salticidae) from the South Western Ghats of India

# Новые виды Cocalus C.L. Koch, 1846 и Habrocestum Simon, 1876 (Araneae: Salticidae) из Южно-Западных Гат Индии

# Puthoor Pattammal Sudhin<sup>1\*</sup>, Souvik Sen<sup>1</sup>, John T. D. Caleb<sup>2</sup>, Vishwanath D. Hegde<sup>3</sup> Путор Патаммал Судхин<sup>1\*</sup>, Соувик Сен<sup>1</sup>, Джон Т.Д. Калеб<sup>2</sup>, Вишванат Д. Хегде<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Zoological Survey of India, Prani Vigyan Bhawan, M-Block, New Alipore, Kolkata - 700053, West Bengal, India.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Anatomy, Saveetha Medical College & Hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai – 602503, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>3</sup> Zoological Survey of India, Western Ghat Regional Centre, Jafferkhan Colony, Kozhikode – 673006, Kerala, India.

\* Corresponding author. E-mail: sudhinpp@gmail.com

KEY WORDS: Aranei, description, jumping spider, new species, taxonomy, Kerala, Karnataka. КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА: Aranei, описание, паук-скакунчик, новые виды, таксономия, Керала, Карнатака.

ABSTRACT. Two new species of jumping spiders, *Cocalus shendurneyensis* sp.n. ( $\bigcirc$ ), and *Habrocestum mookambikaensis* sp.n. ( $\bigcirc$ ), are described from the South Western Ghats of India. Detailed morphological descriptions, diagnostic features and illustrations of copulatory organs are given. The current distribution of the genus *Cocalus* C.L. Koch, 1846 and *Habrocestum* Simon, 1876 in India is also presented.

How to cite this paper: Sudhin P.P., Sen S., Caleb J.T.D., Hegde V.D. 2022. New species of *Cocalus* C.L. Koch, 1846 and *Habrocestum* Simon, 1876 (Araneae: Salticidae) from the South Western Ghats of India // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.31. No.4. P.486–492. doi: 10.15298/arthsel. 31.4.09

РЕЗЮМЕ. Два новых вмида пауков-скакунчиков, *Cocalus shendurneyensis* sp.n. (♂), и *Habrocestum mookambikaensis* sp.n. (♀), описаны из Южно-Западных Гат Индии. Приводятся подробные морфологические описания, диагностические признаки и иллюстрации. Показаны также современные находки видов из родов *Cocalus* C.L. Koch, 1846 и *Habrocestum* Simon, 1876 в Индии.

# Introduction

The family Salticidae Blackwall, 1841 is the most diverse taxon of Araneae, consisting of 6428 species in 664 genera worldwide [WSC, 2022]. As of now, a total of 292 salticid species in 103 genera have been reported from India [Caleb, Sankaran, 2022]. Of these, over 123 species are still known only from a single sex [Caleb, 2019], indicating that the jumping spiders of India are not well documented, and their true diversity

remains undetermined. Moreover, recent revisions have revealed that the status of many species is yet questionable, and some are to be considered synonyms of older names [Caleb et al., 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022; Sudhin et al., 2020]. Therefore, extensive surveys and studies focusing on the taxonomy and phylogeny of salticids are required to discover and understand the actual diversity of Indian salticids. While examining spiders collected during field surveys in the southern part of India, two unknown salticid specimens have been identified — one belonging to the spartaeine genus Cocalus C.L. Koch, 1846 and another to the hasariine genus Habrocestum Simon, 1876. Cocalus is a poorly known salticid group, distributed mainly in the Oriental and Australian Regions, and to date two species have been reported from India [Wanless, 1981; Caleb, Sankaran, 2022; WSC, 2022]. Habrocestum includes small, ground-dwelling spiders, distributed mainly in Africa and southern Eurasia. The genus currently has three representatives in India, all of which have been described from southern India [Sankaran et al., 2019; Asima et al., 2022]. The present paper deals with the description of two new species: viz., Cocalus shendurnevensis sp.n. ( $\bigcirc$ <sup>7</sup>), and Habrocestum mookambikaensis sp.n.  $(\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+})$ , from the South Western Ghats of India.

## Material and methods

The collected spiders were preserved in 70% ethanol. The morphological examination was carried out under a Leica M205A stereozoom binocular microscope and images were captured with a Leica DFC4500 camera and processed using extended focus montage LAS software (version 4.1.2). Distribution maps were prepared by using the online mapping software SimpleMappr [Shorthouse, 2010]. All mea-



Figs 1–7. Somatic characters of *Cocalus shendurneyensis* sp.n., holotype  $\bigcirc^7$  (1–3), and *Habrocestum mookambikaensis* sp.n., holotype  $\bigcirc^7$  (4–7). 1, 4 — body, dorsal view; 2, 5 — ventral view; 3 — lateral view; 6 — carapace, lateral view; 7 — abdomen, lateral view. Scale bars: 2 mm (1–3), 1 mm (4–7).

Рис. 1–7. Соматические признаки *Cocalus shendurneyensis* sp.n., голотип vert (1–3), и *Habrocestum mookambikaensis* sp.n., голотип vert (4–7). 1, 4 — тело, вид сверху; 2, 5 — то же, вид снизу; 3 — то же, вид сбоку; 6 — головогрудь, вид сбоку; 7 — брюшко, вид сбоку. Масштаб: 2 мм (1–3), 1 мм (4–7).

surements are in millimeters (mm). Description of the length of palp and leg segments is as follows: total length [femur, patella, tibia, metatarsus (except palp), tarsus]. The terminology follows Reiskind [1969] and for leg spination follows Bossellaers & Jocque [2000]. The studied specimens are deposited in the National Zoological Collections of Zoological Survey of India (NZC-ZSI), Kolkata, India.

Abbreviations used in the text and figures are as follows: ALE — anterior lateral eye, AME — anterior median eye, C — cymbium, CD — copulatory duct, CO — copulatory



Figs 8–12. Copulatory organs of *Cocalus shendurneyensis* sp.n., holotype  $\bigcirc^{3}$  (8–10), and *Habrocestum mookambikaensis* sp.n., holotype  $\bigcirc^{2}$  (11–12). 8 — right male palp, ventral view, 9 — same, retrolateral view, 10 — same, dorsal view; 11 — epigyne, ventral view; 12 — vulva, dorsal view. Scale bars: 0.5 mm (8–10), 0.2 mm (11–12).

Рис. 8–12. Копулятивные ограны *Cocalus shendurneyensis* sp.n., голотип ♂ (8–10), и *Habrocestum mookambikaensis* sp.n., голотип ♀ (11–12). 8 — правая пальпа самца, вид снизу, 9 — то же, вид сбоку-сзади, 10 — то же, вид сверху; 11 — эпигина, вид снизу; 12 — вульва, вид сверху. Масштаб: 0,5 мм (8–10), 0,2 мм (11–12).

opening, do — dorsal, E — embolus, FD — fertilization duct, pl — prolateral, PLE — posterior lateral eye, PME posterior median eye, plv — prolateral ventral, rl — retrolateral, RTA — retrolateral tibial apophysis, rlv — retrolateral ventral, v — ventral, VTA — ventral tibial apophysis, VTO — ventral tibial outgrowth.

# Taxonomy

#### Cocalus C.L. Koch, 1846

TYPE SPECIES: Cocalus concolor C.L. Koch, 1846

## *Cocalus shendurneyensis* **sp.n.** Figs 1–3, 8–10, 13–14, Map.

TYPE. Holotype ♂ (NZC-ZSI-7715/18), INDIA, Kerala, Kollam, Shendurney Wildlife Sanctuary (9°12′24″N, 77°11′94″E), 780 m a.s.l., 9.12.2021, P. Girish Kumar.

ETYMOLOGY. The specific epithet is an adjective derived from the name of the wildlife sanctuary from where the species was collected.

DIAGNOSIS. The male of *C. shendurneyensis* sp.n. can be easily distinguished from those of all other *Cocalus* species by the broader, longer RTA, and posteriorly oriented finger-like projection with its curved distal region directed retrolaterally (cf. Figs 9, 14 with figs 4C, 5C in Wanless [1981], fig. 4 in Davies & Żabka [1989], figs 13, 17 in Sudhin *et al.* [2019], and fig. 17 B in Cao *et al.* [2016]).

REMARKS. The genus *Cocalus* has two representatives in India: viz., *C. murinus* Simon, 1899 ( $\bigcirc$ ) and *C. lacinia* 

Sudhin, Nafin, Sumesh et Sudhikumar, 2019 ( $^{\circ}_{+}$ ). The record of C. murinus from India was based on the female specimen collected from Nagrakata Tea Estate, West Bengal [Roy et al., 2016]. However, illustrations of the female copulatory organs provided by Roy et al. [2016] do not match with that of the lectotype of C. murinus: copulatory opening very narrow and small (wider in C. murinus); epigyne nearly rectangular (almost pentagon in C. murinus) (cf. fig. 22D in Roy et al. [2016] with Fig. 3C in Wanless [1981]). Based on these observations, it is therefore safe to conclude that the Indian record of C. murinus by Roy et al. [2016] is mistaken, and that C. murinus is actually confined to Indonesia (Sumatra). In addition, the identity of the female specimen from West Bengal, India is uncertain and a re-examination is needed to validate its identity. It is therefore not likely that the male described below can be that of C. murinus recorded by Roy et al. [2016].

DESCRIPTION. MALE. (Figs 1–3, 8–10, 13–14). Measurements: body length 7.33. Carapace length 3.13, width 2.31. Abdomen length 4.14, width 1.56. Ocular area length 1.28, width 1.69. Eye diameters: AME 0.54, ALE 0.29, PME 0.21, PLE 0.20. Eye interdistances: ALE–AME 0.07, ALE–ALE 1.21, ALE–PME 0.29, PLE–PLE 1.33, PME–PME 1.31, PME–PLE 0.33. Measurements legs: leg I 7.38 [2.04, 0.97, 1.99, 1.50, 0.88], II 7.47 [2.02, 1.04, 1.91, 1.66, 0.84], III 6.65 [1.91, 0.87, 1.46, 1.57, 0.84], IV 8.25 [2.29, 0.96, 1.96, 2.09, 0.95]. Leg formula: 4213. Leg spination: femur I–II pl 2 rl 2 do 3, III–IV pl 3 rl 3 do 3; patella I–IV pl 1 rl 1; tibia I–II pl 3 rl 3 do 3 plv 3 rlv 3, III–IV pl 3 rl 3 do 2 plv 2 rlv 2; tarsus I–IV spineless. Carapace moderately high, longer than broad, red-

488



Figs 13–16. Copulatory organs of *Cocalus shendurneyensis* sp.n., holotype  $\circ$ <sup>7</sup> (13–14), and *Habrocestum mookambikaensis* sp.n., holotype  $\circ$ <sup>2</sup> (15–16). 13 — right male palp, ventral view, 14 — same, retrolateral view; 15 — epigyne, ventral view; 16 — vulva, dorsal view. Scale bars: 0.5 mm (13–14), 0.2 mm (15–16).

Рис. 13–16. Копулятивные орагны *Cocalus shendurneyensis* sp.n., голотип <sup>¬</sup> (13–14), и *Habrocestum mookambikaensis* sp.n., голотип <sup>♀</sup> (15–16). 13 — правая пальпа самца, вид снизу, 14 — то же, вид сбоку-сзади; 15 — эпигина, вид снизу; 16 — вульва, вид сверху. Масштаб: 0,5 мм (13–14), 0,2 мм (15–16).

dish brown, covered with white hairs; eye field densely covered with golden-brown hairs, eye bases black; fovea moderately long, black, longitudinal, lying behind PLEs at middle (Figs 1, 3). Clypeus low, vertical, covered with golden-brown hairs. Chelicerae reddish brown, frontal face with light brown hairs (Figs 2, 3), pro-and retromargins with three teeth, fangs medium-sized, light reddish brown. Endites yellowish brown, scopulate with dull white inner tips, margin of endites with narrow dark brown lines (Fig. 2). Labium brown, scopulate, with pale yellow tip (Fig. 2). Sternum nearly oval, yellowish brown, covered with short white hairs (Fig. 2). Abdomen light reddish-yellow, elongate, posteriorly narrowing, covered with short white hairs, anteromedially with a pair of light brown longitudinal stripes, and medially with a pair of light brown lateral markings (Fig. 1); lateral abdomen with light brown longitudinal lines extending the entire length of abdomen (Fig. 3); venter light yellowish brown, densely covered with short brown hairs, medially and laterally with a pair of yellowish dotted lines (Fig. 2). Spinnerets pale yellow, posterior pair light brown (Figs 1, 2). Legs pale yellow, with light brown markings on femora and tibiae. Palp densely covered by hairs; cymbium and tegulum reddish brown, other segments light reddish vellow; tibia base with a flap-like ventral outgrowth; VTA thumb like with sub-acute tip; RTA broad and elongated, finger-like protuberance posteriorly directed with its curved distal region directed at 4 o'clock position in ventral view; cymbium broad, moderately long, distally flat, with posterior triangular extension; tegulum ovoid, reddish brown with

tegular furrow and retrolateral striae; embolus robust, hook-shaped, with the pointed tip curving inwards, towards the alveolar cavity (Figs 8–10, 13–14).

DISTRIBUTION. The type locality only (Map).

#### Habrocestum Simon, 1876

TYPE SPECIES: Habrocestum pullatum Simon, 1876

Habrocestum mookambikaensis sp.n. Figs 4–7, 11–12, 15–16, Map.

TYPES. Holotype  $\bigcirc$  (NZC-ZSI-7678/18), INDIA, Karnataka, Udupi, Anejhari Butterfly Camp, Mookambika Wildlife Sanctuary (13°49′40″N, 74°48′06″E), 81 m a.s.l., 31.08.2021, V.D. Hegde. — PARATYPE: INDIA: 1 $\bigcirc$  (NZC-ZSI-7679/18), from the same sanctuary (13°70′49.4″N, 75°05′50.8″E), Shimoga, 609 m a.s.l., 16.12.2021, S. Sen.

ETYMOLOGY. The specific epithet is an adjective derived from the name of the wildlife sanctuary from where the species was collected.

DIAGNOSIS. The female epigyne of *H. mookambikaen*sis sp.n. is most similar to that of *H. namibicum* Wesołowska, 2006, from which it can be easily distinguished by the posteromedially placed copulatory openings, and short, broad copulatory ducts. The vulva is most similar to that of *H. laurae* Peckham et Peckham, 1903, from which it can be distinguished by the large globular spermathecae, medially placed, elongated fertilization ducts and the absence of pos-



Map. Collecting localities of the Indian *Cocalus* and *Habrocestum* species. Карта. Точки находок индийских видов *Cocalus* и *Habrocestum*.

tero-medial epigynal pocket (cf. Figs 11–12, 15–16 with fig. 36 in Prószyński [1987] and figs. 34–37 in Wesołowska [2006]).

REMARKS. *H. kerala* Asima, Caleb, Babu et Prasad, 2022 was described from the males [Asima *et al.*, 2022], and therefore there may be grounds for believing that the female described here could be conspecific to the male of *H. kerala*. However, female specimens collected recently by A. Asima (pers. comm., 30.07.2022) from the type locality of *H. kerala* are identical by their body colour pattern to the male described (see Asima *et al.* [2022: fig. 4]), and moreover, in their copulatory organs, they are most similar to those of *H. hantaneensis* Kanesharatnam et Benjamin, 2016 from Sri Lanka. Hence, the female holotype of *H. mookambikaensis* sp.n. is not conspecific to *H. kerala*, of which the female will be later described elsewhere.

DESCRIPTION. FEMALE (Holotype, NZC-ZSI-7678/ 18) (Figs 4-7, 11-12, 15-16). Measurements: body length 3.83. Carapace length 1.91, width 1.47. Abdomen length 1.92, width 1.36. Ocular area length 0.86, width 1.25. Eye diameters: AME 0.41, ALE 0.24, PME 0.08, PLE 0.20. Eye interdistances: AME-AME 0.02, ALE-AME 0.03, ALE-ALE 0.84, ALE-PME 0.29, PLE-PLE 0.98, PME-PME 1.14, PME-PLE 0.16. Measurements of palp and legs: palp 1.45 [0.52, 0.27, 0.20, 0.46], leg I 2.99 [0.95, 0.54, 0.71, 0.33, 0.46], II 2.68 [0.92, 0.45, 0.57, 0.39, 0.35], III 3.38 [1.24, 0.43, 0.75, 0.59, 0.37], IV 3.41 [1.08, 0.38, 0.73, 0.78, 0.44]. Leg formula: 4312. Leg spination: femur I-III pl 1 do 3, IV do 3; patella III pl 1 rl 1, IV rl 1; tibia I pl 1 plv 3 rlv 3, II pl 2 plv 3 rlv 3, III–IV pl 2 rl 2 plv 2 rlv 1; metatarsus Î-II plv 2 rlv 2, III-IV pl 2 rl 2 do 1 plv 2 rlv 2; tarsus I-IV spineless. Carapace dark reddish brown, high with steep posterior slope; margin of carapace with black lines; eye field black, covered with short golden-brown hairs,

intermixed with long black hairs, a row of long black hairs behind the anterior eyes; fovea short, black, longitudinal, lying behind PLEs at middle (Figs 4, 6). Clypeus low, vertical, yellowish brown, covered with light brown hairs. Chelicerae small, reddish brown, promargin with two teeth and retromargin with five teeth (Fig. 5). Endites yellowish brown, scopulate, with paler tips (Fig. 5). Labium yellowish brown, distally with black setae (Fig. 5). Sternum yellow covered with light brown hairs (Fig. 5). Abdomen oval, dorsum with several light grey patches and laterally with transverse dotted lines on brown background (Figs 4, 7); venter light grey, with a pair of median lines, posterior tip with light brown patch (Fig. 5). Spinnerets brown with paler tips. Legs light vellow, all femora ventrally with a row of trichobothria (Fig. 6). Epigyne longer than wide, heavily sclerotized, sparsely covered with long grey hairs; copulatory openings situated posteromedially and separated by thick septum; copulatory ducts short, broad, laterally diverging, entering the posterior region of spermathecae; spermathecae, large, bilobed, anterior lobe large and globular, posterior lobe small, nearly round, and highly sclerotized; fertilization ducts long, oriented laterally, positioned at the middle region of spermathecae (Figs 11-12, 15-16).

DISTRIBUTION. The type locality only (Map).

## Discussion

In India, Salticidae is the most speciose family, with a total of 292 species in 103 genera having been reported/described to date [Caleb, Sankaran, 2022]. However, in some regions of India this diverse family has not received appropriate attention by taxonomists, especially in the Western Ghats (WG), one of the world

biological hotspots that is known to harbor a highly diverse, endemic flora and fauna. Some 90 salticid species in 52 genera have been reported from the WG [Sen, Sureshan, 2020; Maddison *et al.*, 2020; Paul *et al.*, 2020; Sudhin *et al.*, 2021; Jose, Sudhikumar, 2022] representing 30% of the total Indian diversity of Salticidae. Of them, 42 species (46%) are endemics [Sen, Sureshan, 2020; Caleb, Sankaran, 2022]. Compared to few vertebrate [Vijaykumar *et al.*, 2016] and invertebrate groups [Bharti *et al.*, 2021; Johnson *et al.*, 2022] whose diversity has been fairly studied, the salticid taxonomy and diversity in the WG is yet to be fully explored. Therefore, extensive surveys and studies are required to discover a real diversity of the salticids occurring in the WG.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest**: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: No ethical issues were raised during our research.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Dr Dhriti Banerjee, Director, Zoological Survey of India for her encouragement, moral support and providing us with necessary facilities to carry out the work. We also thank the Chief Conservator of Forest and Chief Wildlife Warden, Karnataka and Kerala State Forest Departments for providing a necessary permission and support during the surveys. We sincerely thank Dr P. Girish Kumar., Scientist-D of the Zoological Survey of India, Western Ghat Regional Centre, Kozhikode, for collecting the new *Cocalus* species from Shendurney Wildlife Sanctuary, Kerala. Thanks to Mr. Chandan Bera, Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata for his curatorial assistance. We thank the editor Dr. Dmitri V. Logunov (Manchester, UK) for the constructive comments and suggestions to the manuscript.

# References

- Asima A., Caleb J.T.D., Babu N., Prasad G. 2022. Two new species of *Habrocestum* Simon, 1876 (Araneae: Salticidae: Hasariini) from Western Ghats, India // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.31. No.3, P.305–311.
- Bharti D.K., Edgecombe G.D., Karanth K.P., Joshi J. 2021. Spatial patterns of phylogenetic diversity and endemism in the Western Ghats, India: A case study using ancient predatory arthropods // Ecology and Evolution. Vol.11. P.16499–16513.
- Bosselaers J., Jocqué J. 2000. Studies in Corinnidae: transfer of four genera and description of the female of *Lessertina mutica* Lawrence 1942 // Tropical Zoology. Vol.13. P.305–325.
- Caleb J.T.D. 2019. An annotated checklist of jumping spiders (Araneae: Salticidae) of India. New Delhi: AkiNik Publications. 75 pp.
- Caleb J.T.D., Bera C., Acharya S. 2020. New species and synonymies in the genus *Carrhotus* Thorell, 1891 from India (Aranei: Salticidae: Salticini) // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.29. No.1. P.51– 66.
- Caleb J.T.D., Bera C., Acharya S., Kumar V. 2019. Jumping spiders of the genus *Pancorius* Simon, 1902 (Araneae: Salticidae: Plexippini) from eastern India, with a description of a new species // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.28. No.2. P.261–266.
- Caleb J.T.D., Sanap R.V., Prajapati D.A., Bambhaniya P. 2021. Taxonomic notes on two jumping spider species of the genus *Epocilla* Thorell (Araneae: Salticidae: Chrysillini) from India and Pakistan // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.30. No.2. P.221–229.

- Caleb J.T.D., Sanap R.V. Tripathi R., Sampathkumar M., Dharmaraj J., Packiam S. M. 2022. Taxonomic notes on some South and Southeast Asian members of the genus *Rhene* Thorell, 1869 (Aranei, Salticidae, Dendryphantini) // Zootaxa. Vol.5125. No.4. P.389–407.
- Caleb J.T.D., Sankaran P.M. 2022. Araneae of India. Version 2022, online at http://www.indianspiders.in, accessed on 3 July 2022.
- Cao Q., Li S., Žabka M. 2016. The jumping spiders from Xishuangbanna, Yunnan, China (Araneae, Salticidae) // ZooKeys. Vol.630. P.43–104.
- Davies V.T., Żabka M. 1989. Illustrated keys to the genera of jumping spiders (Araneae: Salticidae) in Australia // Memoirs of the Queensland Museum.Vol.27. P.189–266.
- Johnson J., Loria S.F., Joseph M.M., Harms D. 2022. Biogeographical and diversification analyses of Indian pseudoscorpions reveal the Western Ghats as museums of ancient biodiversity // Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. Vol.175. Art.107495
- Jose A., Sudhikumar A.V. 2022. A new ant mimicking spider of the genus *Toxeus* C. L. Koch, 1846 (Araneae: Salticidae: Salticinae) from the Western Ghats, India // Peckhamia. Vol.256. No.1. P.1–9.
- Kanesharatnam N., Benjamin S.P. 2016. Three new generic records and descriptions of four new species of jumping spiders (Araneae, Salticidae) from Sri Lanka // European Journal of Taxonomy. Vol.228. P.1–23.
- Maddison W.P., Beattie I., Marathe K., Ng P.Y.C., Kanesharatnam N., Benjamin S.P., Kunte K. 2020. A phylogenetic and taxonomic review of baviine jumping spiders (Araneae, Salticidae, Baviini) // ZooKeys. Vol.1004. P.27–97.
- Paul J., Prajapati D.A., Joseph M.M., Sebastian P.A. 2020. Description of a new species of *Colaxes* Simon, 1900 (Araneae: Salticidae: Ballinae) from the tropical montane cloud forests of Western Ghats, India // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.29. No.2. P.244–250.
- Prószyński J. 1987. Atlas rysunków diagnostycznych mniej znanych Salticidae 2. Zeszyty Naukowe Wyższej Szkoly Rolniczo-Pedagogicznej v Siedlcach. 172 pp.
- Reiskind J. 1969. The spider subfamily Castianeirinae of North and Central America (Araneae, Clubionidae) // Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology. Vol.138. P.163–325.
- Roy T.K., Saha S., Raychaudhuri D. 2016. A treatise on the jumping spiders (Araneae: Salticidae) of tea ecosystem of Dooars, West Bengal, India // World Scientific News. Vol.53. No.1. P.1–66.
- Sankaran P.M., Malamel J.J., Joseph M.M., Sebastian P.A. 2019. New species of *Habrocestum* Simon, 1876 and a redescription of *Curubis tetrica* Simon, 1902 (Araneae: Salticidae: Salticinae: Hasariini) from India // Journal of Natural History. Vol.53. No.1–2. P.1–15.
- Sen S., Sureshan P.M. 2020. Arachnida: Araneae // Faunal Diversity of Biogeographic Zones of India: Western Ghats. Published by the Director, Zool. Surv. India, Kolkata. P.141–158.
- Shorthouse D.P. 2010. SimpleMappr, an online tool to produce publication-quality point maps. Available from http:// www.simplemappr.net (accessed 9 June 2022).
- Sudhin P.P., Nafin K.S., Caleb J.T.D., Sudhikumar A.V. 2020. Redescription of *Asemonea cristata* Thorell, 1895 (Araneae: Salticidae: Asemoneinae), with notes on its synonymy and distribution // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.29. No.2. P.251–256.
- Sudhin P.P., Nafin K.S., Caleb J.T.D., Sudhikumar A.V. 2021. A new spider species of the genus *Carrhotus* Thorell, 1891 (Aranei: Salticidae: Salticini) from Western Ghats of India // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.30. No.4. P.551–556.
- Sudhin P.P., Nafin K.S., Sumesh N.V., Sudhikumar A.V. 2019. A new spider species of the genus *Cocalus* C.L. Koch, 1846 (Araneae: Salticidae: Spartaeinae) from Western Ghats of India // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.28. No.1. P.125–130.
- Vijayakumar S.P., Menezes R.C., Jayarajan A., Shanker K. 2016. Glaciations, gradients, and geography: multiple drivers of diversification of bush frogs in the Western Ghats Escarpment // Proceedings of the Royal Society. Ser.B: Biological Sciences. Vol.283. No.1836. Art.20161011.

- Wanless F.R. 1981. A revision of the spider genus *Cocalus* (Araneae: Salticidae) // Bulletin of the British Museum of Natural History (Zool.). Vol.41. P.253–261.
- Wesolowska W. 2006. Jumping spiders from the Brandberg massif in Namibia (Araneae: Salticidae) // African Entomology. Vol.14. P.225–256.
- WSC 2022. World Spider Catalog. Version 23.0. Natural History Museum Bern, online at http://wsc.nmbe.ch, accessed on 3 July 2022. doi: 10.24436/2.

Responsible editor D.V. Logunov

492