A new semi-terrestrial Cryptorchestia Lowry et Fanini, 2013 (Amphipoda: Talitridae) from the southwestern Caucasus and the Ciscaucasian Plain

Новая амфибиотическая Cryptorchestia Lowry et Fanini, 2013 (Amphipoda: Talitridae) с юго-западного Кавказа и Предкавказской равнины

Ivan N. Marin¹, Dmitry M. Palatov² И.Н. Марин^{1,} Д.М. Палатов²

A.N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution of RAS, Moscow 119071, Russia Институт экологии и эволюции им. А.Н. Северцова РАН, Москва 119071, Россия. E-mails: coralliodecapoda@mail.ru1, triops@yandex.ru2

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0552-84561, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8826-93162

KEY WORDS: Diversity; Crustacea; Cryptorchestia; new species; phylogeny; glacial refugia; barcoding; speciation; Pleistocene.

КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА: Разнообразие; ракообразные; *Cryptorchestia*; новый вид; филогения; гляциальный рефугиум; ДНК-баркодинг; видообразование; плейстоцен.

ABSTRACT. A new species of the semi-terrestrial talitrid genus Cryptorchestia Lowry et Fanini, 2013, Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica sp.n., is described from western Abkhazia and the western part of the Ciscaucasian Plain, reaching Rostov-on-Don and the Tsimlyansk Reservoir, Russia. The new species is obviously related to the Western European C. garbinii Ruffo, Turaco et Latella, 2014. Both species are genetically separated for 2.5% by COI mtDNA gene marker, geographically isolated and can be easily distinguished by the shape of antennas I-II and the spinulation of propodus (palm) of both gnathopods I-II in males and females. It is very likely that these species were separated by episodes of cooling/glaciation, the most powerful of which occur during the Cromerian Stage of the Middle Pleistocene, about 0.5-0.8 Mya, and survived during some unfavorable periods in the southern refugium of the Caucasus and southwestern Europe, respectively.

How to cite this paper: Marin I.N., Palatov D.M. 2023. A new semi-terrestrial Cryptorchestia Lowry et Fanini, 2013 (Amphipoda: Talitridae) from the southwestern Caucasus and the Ciscaucasian Plain // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.32. No.3. P.281-292. doi: 10.15298/ arthsel.32.3.05

РЕЗЮМЕ. Описан новый вид амфибиотических амфипод-талитрид рода Cryptorchestia Lowry et Fanini, 2013, Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica sp.n., pacпространенный от центральной Абхазии и западной части Предкавказской равнины до Ростова-на-Дону и Цимлянского водохранилища, Россия. Новый вид является близкородственным для западноевропейской талитриды С. garbini Ruffo, Tarocco et Latella, 2014. В тоже время эти виды генетически разделены на 2.5% по генному маркеру СОІ мтДНК, географически изолированы и могут быть легко различимы по форме антенн I-II и вооружению проподуса (ладони) гнатопод I-II у самцов и самок. Весьма вероятно, что виды были разделены эпизодами похолодания/оледенения, наиболее мощные из которых пришлись на Кромерианский этап Среднего Плейстоцена, около 0,5-0,8 млн. лет назад, и пережили неблагоприятные периоды в рефугиумах южной части Кавказа и юго-западной Европы, соответственно.

Introduction

The family Talitridae (beach- and landhoopers) (Crustacea: Amphipoda) includes semi-terrestrial amphipods widely distributed across warm temperate and subtropical regions, where they live in a wide variety of coastal to inland terrestrial habitats. Several species are known as synanthropic, while humans have transported others accidentally [Greenslade et al., 2008; Simpson, 2011; Wildish et al., 2016].

Talitird amphipods from the coastal habitats of the Black and Azov Seas include ten species, with six species known from its northwestern coastline [Grintzov, 2022]. Among them, Cryptorchestia garbinii Ruffo, Tarocco et Latella, 2014, originally described from Lake Garda, Italy, is one of the large-size semiterrestrial talitrid species (body size reaches 18 mm) living along the shores of freshwater reservoirs, such as lakes and rivers, reaching areas covered with snow and

ice in winter. Previously, this species was confused with Orchestia cavimana Heller, 1865 (= Cryptorchestia cavimana (Heller, 1865)) from Cyprus (see Lowry and Fanini [2013] and Ruffo et al. [2014]). In Europe, C. garbinii is currently spreading in the inland freshwater ecosystems [Ruffo et al., 2014; Davolos et al., 2018; Rewicz et al., 2020], and it is believed that its current known distribution extends from the Ponto-Caspian region to the Balkans and Italy France and the UK [Ruffo et al., 2014; Rewicz et al., 2020]. The genetic diversity of European populations is extremely low, and all of them are almost identical in COI mtD-NA gene marker [Rewicz et al., 2020]. However, eastern populations, for example, from the territory of Russian Federation and southwestern Caucasus have never been studied in terms of genetic diversity.

We examined landhoopers from the southwestern part of the Caucasus (Lake Abrau, Russia and New Athos, Abkhazia), as well as the nearby Ciscaucasian Plain in the Rostov region (Rostov-on-Don and Tsimlyansk Reservoirs), Russia, which were previously identified as *C. garbinii* or *C. cavimana* (e.g., Takhteev *et al.* [2015], Grintsov [2022]). The studied specimens can be distinguished from the type population of *C. garbinii* from Lake Garda (after Ruffo *et al.* [2014]) by several morphological features, as well as extensive genetic data using the gene marker of COI mtDNA (barcoding), and described herewith as a new species.

Material and methods

SAMPLE COLLECTION AND PROCESSING. Amphipods were collected in various coastal habitats in the southwestern Caucasus in 2020-2022. All samples were fixed in 90% ethanol. Photographs of alive coloration in situ were made using a digital camera CanonG16. Photographs of morphological features were made with a digital camera attached to a light microscope Olympus ZX10 or Olympus CX21. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were made using a Vega3 Tescan microscope in the Yu.A. Orlov Paleontological Museum of the Paleontological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow. The body length (bl., mm), the dorsal length from the distal margin of head to the posterior margin of telson, without uropod III and both antennas, was used as a standard measurement. The type material is deposited at the collection of Zoological Museum of Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia (ZMMU). Additional material is deposited in the author's personal collection (IM) at the A.N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia (LEMMI).

DNA AMPLIFICATION AND SEQUENCING. Total genomic DNA was extracted from muscle body tissue using the innuPREP DNA Micro Kit (AnalitikJena, Germany). The COI mtDNA gene fragment was amplified using the universal primers LCO1490 (5'–GGTCAACAAATCAT-AAAGATATTGG–3') and HC02198 (5'–TAAACT-TCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA–3') under the standard protocol [Folmer *et al.*, 1994]. PCR products were then sequenced using Genetic Analyzer ABI 3500 (Applied Biosystems, USA) and BigDye 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, USA)

with forward and reverse primers. Dataset of aligned sequences, 617 base pairs in length was used in the study.

PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS. Consensus of complementary sequences was obtained with MEGA 7.0 [Kumar et al., 2016]. PartitionFinder v.2. [Lanfear et al., 2016] was used for finding best-fitting partitions for the concatenated dataset as COI mtDNA gene marker is usually characterized by a strong heterogeneity in nucleotide substitution rates among all three coding positions. The GTR+G+I evolutionary substitution model was suggested as the best using jModeltest2.1.141 and MEGA 7.0. Different evolutionary substitution models and phylogenetic estimates were widely congruent in this study. Further, RAxML [Kozlov et al., 2019] with 1000 bootstrap replicates was used to create Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogeny. Pairwise genetic divergences (p-distances) were calculated based on COI sequences using MEGA 7.0 with the Kimura 2-Parameter (K2P) model of evolution [Kimura, 1980]. Median-joining network [Bandelt et al., 1999] was reconstructed with PopArt (Population Analysis with Reticulate Trees) software [Leigh, Bryant, 2015].

Results

Order Amphipoda Latreille, 1816 Infraorder Gammarida Latreille, 1802 Family Talitridae Rafinesque, 1815 Genus *Cryptorchestia* Lowry et Fanini, 2013

Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica sp.n. Figs 1–4.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. Holotype, ♂ (bl. 12.0 mm), ZMMU Mb-1261, Russian Federation, northwestern Ciscaucasian Plain, Rostov Oblast', Rostov-on-Don, Proletarskiy district, 47°13′59.9″N 39°47′00.1″E, about 40 m a.s.l., a small spring on a shore of Kiziterinka river, hand net sampling, 18.05.2022, coll. D. Palatov et I. Marin.

Paratypes, 1° , 2°_{\circ} , ZMMU Mb-1262 and ZMMU Mb-1263, same locality and date as for the holotype.

Additional material: 5° , 9° (LEMMI), Russian Federation, Krasnodar Krai, Novorossiysk area, Lake Abrau, 44°42′41.2″N 37°35′31.9″E, on the shore, under large stones, hand net sampling, 12.06.2022, coll. I. Marin et D. Palatov; 2° , 7° (LEMMI), Rostov Oblast, Rostov-on-Don, Proletarskiy district, the bank of the Kiziterinka River, 47°13′59.9″N 39°47′00.2″E, under large stones, hand net sampling, 10.05.2022, coll. I. Marin et D. Palatov; 6° , 7° , 10° (LEMMI), Rostov Oblast, Tsimlyansky district, Tsimlyansk Reservoir, 47°41′47.34″N, 42°11′16.04″E, on the shore, under large stones and boulders, hand net sampling, 26.08.2022, coll. D. Palatov; 1° , 3° (LEMMI), SW Caucasus, Abkhazia, New Athos, 43°05′28.9″N 40°49′01.0″E, under large stones, hand net sampling, 7.01.2023, coll. D. Palatov.

DESCRIPTION (based on the original description of *C. garbinii* from Garda Lake, Italy [Ruffo *et al.*, 2014], and an additional re-description from the Republic of Türkiye (Turkey) [Davalos *et al.*, 2018]).

Head. Eyes large, subcircular, black. *Antenna I* (Fig. 2*a*) short, frequently reaching distal margin of article III of antenna II, article II about 1.9 times longer than wide, sub-equal to article III, flagellum with 5 articles. *Antenna II* (Fig. 2*b*) about 1/2 of total body length, peduncle not swollen, and with sparse small robust setae, flagellum relatively short with 19–20 articles.

Mouthparts. Labrum (Fig. 3*a*) and *labium* (Fig. 3*b*) with very fine setules on anterior margin. *Mandible* (Fig. 3*c*) left



Fig. 1. Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica sp.n., Rostov-on-Don, Russia: a, b — general view in situ; c — relaxed individuals (with clove oil), alive coloration, general lateral view.

Рис. 1. *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n., Ростов-на-Дону, Россия: *a*, *b* — общий вид *in situ*; *c* — особи, расслабленные гвоздичным маслом, прижизненная окраска, общий вид сбоку.



Fig. 2. *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n., Rostov-on-Don, Russia, $\bigcirc^{?}$ (*a*–*d*, *g*) and $\bigcirc^{?}$ (*e*, *f*, *h*–*j*): *a* – antenna I; *b* – antenna II; *c*, *e* – gnathopod I; *e*, *f* – distoventral corner of chela of GnI; *g*, *h* – gnathopod II; *i* – propodus (chela) of GnII; *j* – distoventral corner of chela of GnII.

Рис. 2. *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n., Ростов-на-Дону, Россия, *З* (*a*–*d*, *g*) и ♀ (*e*, *f*, *h*–*j*): *a* — антенна I; *b* — антенна II; *c*, *e* — гнатопода I; *e*, *f* — дистовентральный край ладони клешни GnI; *g*, *h* — гнатопода II; *i* — проподус (клешня) GnII; *j* — дистовентральный край ладони клешни GnII.



Fig. 3. Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica sp.n., \bigcirc^3 , Rostov-on-Don, Russia: a — labrum (upper lip); b — labium (lower lip); c, e — mandibles; d, f — incisor process and pars incisiva of mandibles; g — maxilla I; h — same, distal margin of outer lobe; i — maxilla II; j — maxilliped.

Рис. 3. *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n., ♂, Ростов-на-Дону, Россия: *a* — лабрум (верхняя губа); *b* — лабиум (нижняя губа); *c*, *e* — мандибулы; *d*, *f* — резцовый отросток и *pars incisiva* мандибул; *g* — максилла I; *h* — то же, дистальный край наружной доли; *i* — максилла II; *j* — максиллипед.



Fig. 4. *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n., \bigcirc ⁷, Rostov-on-Don, Russia: *a* — pereopod III; *b* — dactylus of PIII; *c* — pereopod IV; *d* — dactylus of PIV; *e* — pereopod V; *f* — dactylus of PV; *g* — pereopod VI; *h* — dactylus of PVI; *i* — pereopod VII; *j* — dactylus of PVII. Рис. 4. *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n., \bigcirc ⁷, Ростов-на-Дону, Россия: *a* — переопода III; *b* — дактилус PIII; *c* — переопода IV; *d* — дактилус PVV; *e* — переопода V; *f* — дактилус PVV; *i* — переопода VII; *j* — дактилус PVI.



Fig. 5. *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n., Rostov-on-Don, Russia: \bigcirc^{\neg} (*a*-*d*, *i*, *g*, *k*) and \bigcirc (*e*, *j*, *h*, *l*): *a*-*c* — epimeral plates I–III; *d*, *e* — telson; *f* — retinacula of pleopod I; *i*, *j* — uropod II; *g*, *h* — uropod I; *k*, *l* — uropod III. Рис. 5. *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n., \bigcirc^{\neg} , Ростов-на-Дону, Россия: \bigcirc^{\neg} (*a*-*d*, *i*, *g*, *k*) и \bigcirc (*e*, *j*, *h*, *l*): *a*-*c* — эпимеральные пластинки I–III; *d*, *e* — тельсон; *f* — ретинакула плеоподы I; *i*, *j* — уропода II; *g*, *h* — уропода II; *k*, *l* — уропода III.



Fig. 6. *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n., Rostov-on-Don, Russia: \bigcirc (*a–f*) and \bigcirc (*g*, *h*): *a* — head; *b* — urosoma, uropod III and telson; *c*, *d*, *e*, *g* — chela of gnathopod II; *f*, *h* — chela of gnathopod I. Рис. 6. *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n., \bigcirc , Ростов-на-Дону, Россия: \bigcirc (*a–f*) и \bigcirc (*g*, *h*): *a* — голова; *b* — уросома, уроподы III и тельсон; *c*, *d*, *e*, *g* — клешня гнатоподы II; *f*, *h* — клешня гнатоподы I.

with 5-dentate *lacinia mobilis* and 5-dentate *pars incisiva* (Fig. 3*d*), right mandible with multi-teethed lacinia mobilis (Fig. 3*e*, *f*). *Maxilla I* (Fig. 3*g*) with 9 robust and crenelated setae on inner lobe (Fig. 3*h*). *Maxilla II* (Fig. 3*i*) with numerous apical setae, long and finely pinnate seta on inner margin of inner lobe. *Maxilliped* (Fig. 3*j*) basal lobe with 3 blunt teeth on anterior margin, axial margin lined with robust setae armed with setules; palp article 4 reduced, but clearly visible.

Epimeral plates I–III (Fig. 5*a–c*) wider than deep, with bluntly produced convex anterior margins. Epimeral plate I with 2 short robust setae only on distal rounded margin. Epimeral plates II with 2 short robust setae only on distal rounded margin. Epimeral plate III unarmed.

Gnathopod I (Fig. 2*c*) larger in males, posterior margins of merus, carpus and propodus with lobes covered with numerous palmate setae; propodus sub-triangular, with bluntly produced distoventral lobe in males (Fig. 2*d*), distally excavated; dactylus shorter than palm. Gnathopod I subchelate in females (Fig. 2*e*), carpus and propodus without rugose lobe, dactylus longer than palm.

Gnathopod II subchelate in females (Fig. 2h) and with strong chela in males (Fig. 2g). Propodus (chela) oviform in males (Fig. 2g), stout with rounded protuberance near dactylus insertion; palmar margin with a large sinus in anterodistal part, dactylus somewhat longer than palm. Propodus (chela) subchelate, with distinct bluntly produced lobe in females (Fig. 2h), lateral margin armed with numerous stout spines; dactylus shorter than palm (Fig. 2j). Oostegites longer than wide; setae with simple straight tips.

Pereopods III–VII (Fig. 4) cuspidactylate. *Pereopods III–IV* (Fig. 4*a*, *c*) similar, without special feature; merus of pereopod III longer than that of pereopod IV; dactylus simple (Fig. 4*b*, *d*). *Pereopod V* (Fig. 4*e*, *f*) basis oval, elongate, posterodistal lobe wide, convex. *Pereopod VI* (Fig. 4*g*) slightly shorter than pereopod VII (Fig. 4*i*); basis oval, elongate, posterior margin convex; propodus as long as carpus, dactylus simple (Fig. 4*h*). *Pereopod VII* (Fig. 4*i*) basis wide with distinct, rounded posterodistal lobe; merus and carpus not enlarged; propodus longer than carpus, dactylus simple (Fig. 4*j*).

Pleopods I–III well-developed, biramous, peduncle without marginal setae, slightly longer than ramus; rami with slender setae; inner ramus slightly longer than outer; with 2 hooks in retinacules (Fig. 5f).

Uropods I–II (Fig. 5g-j) with peduncle and both rami spinose, distal robust setae longer than others; uropods I rami slightly shorter than peduncle both in males (Fig. 5g) and females (Fig. 5h), armed with large strong spines. Uropod II rami longer than peduncle both in males (Fig. 5i) and females (Fig. 5j), armed with large strong spines. Uropod III peduncle with 3–4 robust setae anterodorsally; ramus shorter than peduncle, with 1–2 lateral stout spine-like setae, and 1 long and 2–3 smaller spine-like setae.

Telson (Fig. 5*d*, *e*) about as long as broad, dorsal midline entire, with 7–8 marginal and distal robust spine-like setae per lobe.

GENBANK ACCESSION NUMBERS. OR211848 (Rostov-on-Don), OR211846 (Lake Abrau), OR211847 (New Athos), OR211849 (Tsimlyansk Reservoir).

TAXONOMIC REMARKS. New cryptic species is most closely related to *Cryptorchestia garbinii* Ruffo, Tarocco et Latella, 2014 described from Lake Garda (Italy) [Ruffo *et al.*, 2014; Davalos *et al.*, 2017] and belongs to the genus *Cryptorchestia* sensu stricto. The latter also includes *C. cavimana* from Cyprus, and *C. ruffoi* Latella et Vonk, 2017 from Rhodes in south-eastern Greece. All these species have a small lobe on the merus of gnathopod I [Davalos *et al.*, 2018a]. Davolos *et al.* [2017] also added *C. kosswigi* (Ruffo, 1949) from the Turkish coast to this group of species, which also has a small lobe on merus of gnathopod I in males.

Nevertheless, some morphological features are clearly separating these species. The new species can be distinguished from G. gabrinii from Lake Garda [Ruffo et al., 2014] by: (1) peduncular articles I-III of antenna I are 1.6, 1.9 and 2.3 times longer than wide, respectively (Fig. 2a) vs. 0.85, 1.6 and 2.1 times (after Ruffo et al. [2014]: Fig. 1B); (2) peduncular articles II-III of antenna II are 2.6 and 4.5-4.7 times longer than wide, respectively (Fig. 2b), vs. 3.5 and 8.0 times (after Ruffo et al. [2014]: fig. 1A, C)); (3) anterodistal lobe of propodus (palm) of gnathopod I in males armed with a much larger amount of spikes (Fig. 2c); (4) less convex anterodistal lobe of propodus (palm) of gnathopod II in males (Fig. 2g); (5) medialateral margin of propodus (palm) and carpus of gnathopod II in females armed with a much larger amount of spikes (Fig. 2h, i) and (6) smaller body size up to 12-13 mm (vs. 17-18 mm).

At the same time, the new species distinguishes from G. cf. gabrinii from the northwestern Turkey [Davalos et al., 2018] by: (1) peduncular articles I–III of antenna I are 1.6, 1.9 and 2.3 times longer than wide, respectively (Fig. 2a), vs. articles are about as long as wide (after Davalos et al. [2018]: fig. 3A); (2) peduncular articles II-III of antenna II are 2.6 and 4.5-4.7 times longer than wide, respectively (Fig. 2b), vs. 2.2 and 3.5-4 times (after Davalos et al. [2018]: fig. 3B)); (3) anterodistal lobe of propodus (palm) of gnathopod I in males armed with a much larger amount of spikes (Fig. 2c); (4) less convex anterodistal lobe of propodus (palm) of gnathopod II in males (Fig. 2g); (5) lateral medial margin of propodus (palm) and carpus of gnathopod II in females armed with a much larger amount of spikes (Fig. 2h, i); (6) elongated basal (basis) articles of pereiopods V-VI, which are about 1.3-1.4 times as long as wide (Fig. 4e, g) vs. almost round, about as long as wide (after Davalos et al. [2018]: fig. 5C, D); and (7) ventral margin of epimeral plates I–III more convex, especially in distal part (Fig. 5a-c).

GENETIC DATA. The genetic divergence of *Cryp*torchestia ciscaucasica sp.n. from *G. garbinii* is about 2.5% (0.025 ± 0.007 substitutions) and about 19% (0.192 ± 0.019 substitutions) from *G. cavimana* from the Crete Island by COI mtDNA gene marker (see Fig. 7).

ECOLOGY. Like other representatives of the genus, this species lives in moist places along the coast of rivers or fresh-water lakes, usually avoiding brackish water reservoirs. Different-sized and heterosexual individuals form aggregations, especially in moist areas under large stones, branches or in the forest floor.

DISTRIBUTION. The currently known distribution of *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n. is limited to the coastal areas of the southwestern Caucasus from Abkhazia (New Athos) to Lake Abrau and further north to deltas of Kuban and Don rivers (Rostov-on-Don) and the Tsimlyansk reservoir in the Rostov region (see Fig. 1). At the same time, previously published records of the talitrid species identified as *C. cavimana* are wider in the region, reaching westward to the Crimean Peninsula [Rewicz *et al.*, 2020] and southeastern Black Sea coast of the Republic of Türkiye (Turkey) [Davolos *et al.*, 2018]. Russo *et al.* [2014] also mentioned that the studied individuals from the Republic of Türkiye (Turkey) (Lake Sapanka, Lake Iznik, Kiyikoy) are not belong either to *C. garbinii* nor to *C. cavimana*, although clearly belonging to the genus *Cryptorchestia*.



Fig. 7. Distribution of *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n. (stars and yellow square) and *Cryptorchestia garbinii* Ruffo, Tarocco et Latella, 2014 (A), reconstruction of COI mtDNA phylogeny by the highest likelihood method (B) and median-joining network of the studied haplotypes, strokes on the branches denote substitutions (C). Yellow icons indicate type localities.

Рис. 7. Распространение *Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica* sp.n. (звездочки и желтый квадрат) и *Cryptorchestia garbinii* Ruffo, Тагоссо et Latella, 2014 (A), реконструкция филогении СОІ мтДНК методом наибольшего правдоподобия (Б) и медианная объединенная сеть изученных гаплотипов (С). Желтыми значками отмечены типовые области обитания.

Discussion

The periods of cooling/glaciation during the Pleistocene greatly changed the habitats and faunas of the European part of Eurasia, where many species survived in refugia only [Hewitt, 2000, 2003, 2004; Zachos et al., 2001; Provan, Bennett, 2008]. Currently, the southern glacial refugia in the Western Palearctic, such as the Iberian, Italian and Balkan peninsulas, Anatolia, the southern Caucasian Kolkhida coastal lowland (Colchis) and the southern coastal valley of the Caspian Sea (Hyrcania), are well recognized [Taberlet et al., 1988; Schmitt, 2007; Bennett, Provan, 2008; Keppel et al., 2012]. Also recently, a glacial refugia have been found on the northern slope of the Greater Caucasus Ridge [Marin, Palatov, 2021; Palatov, Sokolova, 2021; Anistratenko et al., 2022] and the Northern Black/ Azov Sea coastal plain [Marin, Palatov, 2023]. They were localities of conservation and subsequent settlement of species, and contributed to the speciation of divided populations in the Pleistocene [Marin, 2020; Marin et al., 2021].

The studied genetic structure of the type species G. garbinii in the Western Europe indicates the Holocene dispersion of one of the populations, which was preserved, presumably, in the Italian refugium [Davalos et al., 2018a]. At the same time, this species currently cannot penetrate into the Northern Black/Azov Seas region, as it is currently "cut off" by zones of passage of cold winter air, for example, through the territory of eastern Ukraine and the Crimean Peninsula, where periodically the air temperature can drop to -30 °C in winter. At the same time, we assume some ancient populations of landhoopers survived in the southern refugium of the Caucasus during the Pleistocene. It is very likely that Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica sp.n. also distributed from western Abkhazia and Lake Abrau to the north, to the Kuban Delta and the Rostov region during the Holocene.

To determine the species boundaries, a threshold barcoding interval based on *p*-distances calculated for the variability of COI mtDNA gene marker was proposed [Kimura, 2005; Hebert et al., 2003b, 2004]. It ranges from 2% [Hebert et al., 2003a; Matzen da Silva et al., 2011] to 16% of the threshold value for crustacean and amphipod species [Lefébure et al., 2006]. Nevertheless, the threshold of about 3% is most commonly used to determine the species boundaries [Hebert et al., 2003a], the threshold of 3.75% is adopted for amphipods of the genus Hyalella S.I. Smith, 1874 (Amphipoda: Hyalellidae) [Witt et al., 2006] and the threshold of 4% proposed for other amphipods [Costa et al., 2009; Haversmans et al., 2011]. In the case of Cryptorchestia ciscaucasica sp.n., the genetic divergence is somewhat lower (2.5%) than the generally accepted values about (3.75-4%), however, the presence of well-marked morphological differences and geographic isolation with strict geographical distribution (local endemism) of both species suggests allopatric speciation since the middle of the Pleistocene (Quaternary period), during the last 1.8–1.0 Mya [Knowlton, Weight, 1998; Schubart *et al.*, 1998] or 0.5 Mya [Loeza-Quintana *et al.*, 2019]. It is very likely that these species were separated by episodes of cooling/ glaciation since 1.65 Mya, the most powerful of which occurred during the Cromerian Stage in the Middle Pleistocene, about 0.8–0.5 Mya [Turner, 1996; Marin, Palatov, 2023].

Acknowledgements. The study is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR) (grant No. 20-04-00803_A). The authors are thankful to Roman A. Rakitov (Paleontological Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences) for his help with obtaining SEM images

References

- Anistratenko V.V., Palatov D.M., Chertoprud E.M., Sitnikova T.Y., Anistratenko O.Y., Clewing C., Vinarski M.V. 2022. Keyhole into a lost world: The first purely freshwater species of the ponto-caspian genus *Clathrocaspia* (Caenogastropoda: Hydrobiidae) // Diversity. Vol.14. No.4. Art.232. http://doi.org/10. 3390/d14040232
- Bandelt H., Forster P., Röhl A. 1999. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies // Molecular Biology and Evolution. Vol.16. No.1. P.37–48. https://doi.org/10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a026036
- Bennett K.D., Provan J. 2008. What do we mean by 'refugia'? // Quaternary Science Reviews. Vol.27(27–28). P.2449–2455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.08.019
- Costa F.O., Henzler C.M., Lunt D.H., Whiteley N.M., Rock J. 2009. Probing marine *Gammarus* (Amphipoda) taxonomy with DNA barcodes // Systematics and Biodiversity.Vol.7. No.4. P.365–379. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1477200009990120
- Grintsov V.A. 2022. Taxonomic diversity of Amphipoda (Crustacea) of the Black and Azov Seas // Marine Biological Journal. Vol.7. No.1. P.34–45. https://doi.org/10.21072/mbj.2022. 07.1.03
- Davolos D., De Matthaeis E., Latella L., Vonk R. 2017. Cryptorchestia ruffoi sp. n. from the island of Rhodes (Greece), revealed by morphological and phylogenetic analysis (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Talitridae) // ZooKeys. Vol.652. P.37–54. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.652.11252
- Davolos D., De Matthaeis E., Latella L., Tarocco M., Özbek M., Vonk R. 2018a. On the molecular and morphological evolution of continental and insular *Cryptorchestia* species, with an additional description of *C. garbinii* (Talitridae) // ZooKeys. Vol.783. P.37–54. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.783.26179
- Davolos D., Vonk R., Latella L., De Matthaeis E. 2018b. The name of a model species: the case of *Orchestia cavimana* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Talitridae) // European Zoological Journal. Vol.85. P.228–230. https://doi.org/10.1080/24750263.2018. 1473513
- Greenslade P., Melbourne B., Davies K., Stevens M.I. 2008. The status of two exotic terrestrial Crustacea on sub-Antarctic Macquarie Island // Polar Record. Vol.44. No.1. P.15–23. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0032247407006778
- Havermans C., Nagy Z.T., Sonet G., De Broyer C., Martin P. 2011. DNA barcoding reveals new insights into the diversity of Antarctic species of *Orchomene* sensu lato (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Lysianassoidea) // Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography. Vol.58. No.1–2. P.230–241. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.09.028
- Hebert P.D.N., Stoeckle M.Y., Zemlak T.S., Francis C.M. 2004. Identification of birds through DNA barcodes // PloS Biology. Vol.2. P.1657–1663. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio. 0020312
- Hebert P.D.N., Cywinska A., Ball S.L., DeWaard J.R. 2003a. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes // Proceedings

of the Royal Society of London, Series B-Biological Sciences. Vol.270. P.313-321. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2218

- Hebert P.D.N., Ratnasingham S., de Waard J.R. 2003b. Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 divergences among closely related species // Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B-Biological Sciences. Vol.270. Suppl.1. P.S96– S99. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rsbl.2003.0025
- Hewitt G.M. 2000. The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages // Nature. Vol.405. P.907–913. https://doi.org/10.1038/35016000
- Hewitt G.M. 2003. Ice ages: their impact on species distributions and evolution // Rothschild L.J., Lister A.M. Evolution on Planet Earth. Amsterdam: Academic Press. P.339–361.
- Hewitt G.M. 2004. The structure of biodiversity-insights from molecular phylogeography // Frontiers in Zoology. Vol.1. No.4. P.1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-1-4
- Keppel G., Van Niel K.P., Wardell-Johnson G.W., Yates C.J., Byrne M., Mucina L., Schut A.G.T., Hopper S.D., Franklin S.E. 2012. Refugia: identifying and understanding safe havens for biodiversity under climate change // Global Ecology and Biogeography. Vol.21. P.393–404. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1466-8238.2011.00686.x
- Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences // Journal of Molecular Evolution. Vol.16. No.2. P.111–120. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581
- Knowlton N., Weigt L.A. 1998. New dates and new rates for divergence across the Isthmus of Panama // Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B-Biological Sciences. Vol.265. P.2257–2263. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1998.0568
- Kozlov A.M., Darriba D., Flouri T., Morel B., Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: A fast, scalable, and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference // Bioinformatics. Art.btz305. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz305
- Kumar S., Stecher G., Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets // Molecular Biology and Evolution. Vol.33. No.7. P.1870–1874. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Lanfear R., Frandsen P.B., Wright A.M., Senfeld T., Calcott B. 2017. PartitionFinder 2: New methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses // Molecular Biology & Evolution Vol.34 No.3. P.772–773. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw260
- Lefébure T., Douady C.J., Gouy M., Gibert J. 2006. Relationship between morphological taxonomy and molecular divergence within Crustacea: Proposal of a molecular threshold to help species delimitation // Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. Vol.40. No.2. P.435–447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev. 2006.03.014
- Leigh J.W., Bryant D. 2015. PopART: Full-feature software for haplotype network construction // Methods in Ecology and Evolution. Vol.6. No.9. P.1110–1116. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 2041-210X.12410
- Loeza-Quintana T., Carr C.M., Khan T., Bhatt Y.A., Lyon S.P., Hebert P.D.N., Adamowicz S.J. 2019. Recalibrating the molecular clock for Arctic marine invertebrates based on DNA barcodes // Genome. Vol.62. P.200–216. https://doi.org/10. 1139/gen-2018-0107.
- Lowry J.K., Fanini L. 2013. Substrate dependent talitrid amphipods from fragmented beaches on the north coast of Crete (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Talitridae), including a redefinition of the genus Orchestia and descriptions of Orchestia xylino sp.n. and Cryptorchestia gen. nov. // Zootaxa. Vol.3709. P.201– 229. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3709.3.1
- Marin I.N. 2020. The Quaternary speciation in the Caucasus: a new cryptic species of stygobiotic amphipod of the genus *Niphar-gus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Niphargidae) from the Kumistavi (Prometheus) Cave, Western Georgia // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.29. No.4. P.419–432. https://doi.org/10.15298/arthsel. 29.4.04
- Marin I., Palatov D. 2021. Cryptic refugee on the northern slope of the Greater Caucasian Ridge: Discovery of *Niphargus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Niphargidae) in the North Ossetia–Alania, North Caucasus, separated from its relatives in the late Mi-

ocene // Zoologischer Anzeiger. Vol.292. P.163–183. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2021.03.002

- Marin I., Palatov D. 2023. Insights on the existence of ancient glacial refugee in the Northern Black/Azov Sea Lowland, with the description of the first stygobiotic microcrustacean species of the genus *Niphargus* Schiödte, 1849 from the mouth of the Don River // Diversity. Vol.15. No.5. Art.682. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/d15050682
- Marin I., Krylenko S., Palatov D., 2021. The Caucasian relicts: a new species of the genus *Niphargus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Niphargidae) from the Gelendzhik–Tuapse area of the Russian southwestern Caucasus // Zootaxa. Vol.4963. No.3. P.483– 504. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4963.3.5
- Matzen da Šilva J., Creer S., dos Santos A., Costa A.C., Cunha M.R., Costa F.O., Carvalho G.R. 2011. Systematic and Evolutionary Insights Derived from MtDNA COI Barcode Diversity in the Decapoda (Crustacea: Malacostraca) // PLoS ONE. Vol.6. Art.e19449. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019449
- Palatov D.M., Sokolova A.M. 2021. Two new stygobiotic species of the genus *Proasellus* (Crustacea: Isopoda: Asellidae) from the North Caucasus // Invertebrate Zoology. Vol.18. No.4. P.481–501. https://doi.org/0.15298/invertzool.18.4.05
- Provan J., Bennett K.D. 2008. Phylogeographic insights into cryptic glacial refugia // Trends in Ecology & Evolution. Vol.23. P.564–571. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.06.010
- Rewicz T., Brodecki J., Bacela-Spychalska K., Konopacka A., Grabowski M. 2020. Further steps of *Cryptorchestia garbinii* invasion in Polish inland waters with insights into its molecular diversity in Central and Western Europe // Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems. Vol.421. Art.17. https:// doi.org/10.1051/kmae/2020009
- Ruffo S., Tarocco M., Latella L. 2014. Cryptorchestia garbinii n. sp. (Amphipoda: Talitridae) from Lake Garda (Northern Italy), previously referred to as Orchestia cavimana Heller, 1865, and notes on the distribution of the two species // Italian Journal of Zoology. Vol.81. P.92–99. https://doi.org/10.1080/11250003. 2014.891662
- Schmitt T. 2007. Molecular biogeography of Europe: Pleistocene cycles and postglacial trends // Frontiers in Zoology. Vol.4. No.11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-4-11
- Schubart C.D., Diesel R., Hedges S.B. 1998. Rapid evolution to terrestrial life in Jamaican crabs // Nature. Vol.393. P.363– 365. https://doi.org/10.1038/30724
- Simpson R. 2011. The invasive biology of the talitrid amphipod *Platorchestia platensis* in North West Europe // The Plymouth Student Scientist. Vol.4. No.2. P.278–292.
- Taberlet P., Fumagalli L., Wust-Saucy A.G., Cosson J.F. 1998. Comparative phylogeography and postglacial colonization routes in Europe // Molecular Ecology. Vol.7. P.453–464. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00289.x
- Takhteev V.V., Berezina N.A., Sidorov D.A. 2015. Checklist of the Amphipoda (Crustacea) from continental waters of Russia, with data on alien species // Arthropoda Selecta. Vol.24. No.3. P.335–370. https://doi.org/10.15298/arthsel.24.3.09
- Turner C. 1996. A brief survey of the early Middle Pleistocene in Europe // Turner C. (ed.). The early Middle Pleistocene in Europe. Rotterdam: Balkem, P.295–317.
- Wildish D.J., Smith S.R., Loeza-Quintana T., Radulovici A.E., Adamowicz S.J. 2016. Diversity and dispersal history of the talitrids (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Talitridae) of Bermuda // Journal of Natural History. Vol.49. P.1047–1071. https:// doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2016.1180719
- Witt J.D.S., Threloff D.L., Hebert P.D.N. 2006. DNA barcoding reveals extraordinary cryptic diversity in an amphipod genus: implications for desert spring conservation // Molecular Ecology. Vol.15. P.3073–3082. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02999.x
- Zachos J., Pagani M., Sloan L., Thomas E., Billups K. 2001. Trends, rhythms, and aberrations in global climate 65 Ma to present // Science. Vol.292. P.686–693. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1059412

Responsible editor K.G. Mikhailov