Aprostocetus neglectus (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) is the only species of Tetrastichinae wasps parasitizing the ladybird beetle Adalia bipunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)

D.A. Romanov^{1*}, I.I. Goryacheva¹, O.V. Kosheleva²

¹ Vavilov Institute of General Genetics RAS, Gubkina str. 3, Moscow 119333 Russiato

² All-Russian Institute of Plant Protection, Podbelskogo 3, St. Petersburg – Pushkin 196608 Russiano

*Corresponding author: dromanov_16@mail.ru

Denis Romanov dromanov_16@mail.ru ORCID 0000-0003-3340-9278 Irina Goryacheva iigoryacheva@mail.ru ORCID 0000-0003-1913-5987

Oksana Kosheleva koscheleva o@mail.ru ORCID 0000-0003-2459-6438

ABSTRACT. This paper presents the morphological characteristics of *Aprostocetus neglectus* wasps that emerged from the pupae of *Adalia bipunctata* and *A. decempunctata* ladybirds. According to literature, 3 species of Tetrastichinae wasps (*A. neglectus, Oomyzus scaposus*, and *Tetrastichus epilachnae*) can parasitize in *A. bipunctata* pupae; however, our findings indicate that *A. neglectus* parasitizes exclusively in *A. bipunctata*. It is a gregarious parasitoid that usually infects 2.3–6.9% of *A. bipunctata* ladybird's pupae. Between 2 and 26 (typically 11–16) imagoes of *A. neglectus* can emerge from one pupa of *A. bipunctata*. The diversity of the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) of *A. neglectus* has also been studied, finding that genetic distances for the *cox1* gene ranged from 0.2 to 4.9%, a range indicative of subspecies or close related species. Given the high mtDNA polymorphism observed across all studied populations of *A. neglectus*, there is no justification for distinguishing subspecies. We identified 26 mitochondrial haplotypes, yet found no haplotypes common across different populations suggesting reproductive isolation among *A. neglectus* populations. Imagoes of *A. neglectus* across the surveyed regions are infected with the symbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia*.

How to cite this article: Romanov D.A., Goryacheva I.I., Kosheleva O.V. 2024. *Aprostocetus neglectus* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) is the only species of Tetrastichinae wasps parasitizing the ladybird beetle *Adalia bipunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) // Invert. Zool. Vol.21. No.2. P.221–231. doi: 10.15298/invertzool.21.2.09

KEY WORDS: parasitism, mitochondrial DNA polymorphism, gene flow, Wolbachia.

Aprostocetus neglectus (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) — единственный вид наездников-тетрастихин, паразитирующий на коровке Adalia bipunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)

Д.А. Романов^{1*}, И.И. Горячева¹, О.В. Кошелева²

¹ Институт общей генетики им. Н.И. Вавилова РАН, ул. Губкина 3, Москва 119333 Россия.

² Всероссийский научно-исследовательский институт защиты растений, шоссе Подбельского

^{3,} Санкт-Петербург – Пушкин 196608 Россия.

^{*}Автор для корреспонденции: dromanov_16@mail.ru

PE3ЮME. Дана морфологическая характеристика наездников Aprostocetus neglectus, выведенных из куколок коровок Adalia bipunctata и A. decempunctata. Согласно литературным данным, в куколках A. bipunctata могут паразитировать три вида наездников-тетрастихин (A. neglectus, Oomyzus scaposus и Tetrastichus epilachnae), однако наши данные свидетельствуют, что в A. bipunctata паразитирует исключительно A. neglectus. Это грегарный паразитоид, инфицирующий обычно 2,3-6,9% куколок коровок A. bipunctata. Из одной куколки A. bipunctata может быть выведено от 2 до 26 (обычно 11–16) имаго A. neglectus. Изучено разнообразие митохондриальной ДНК (мтДНК) A. neglectus, значения генетических расстояний по гену coxl варьируют от 0,2 до 4,9%, достигая уровня, характерного для подвидов или близких видов. Поскольку высокие значения полиморфизма мтДНК наблюдаются во всех изученных популяциях A. neglectus, то нет оснований для выделения подвидов. Нами описано 26 митохондриальных гаплотипов. Однако гаплотипов, общих для разных популяций, не было найдено, что указывает на репродуктивную изоляцию популяций A. neglectus. Имаго A. neglectus по всему изученному нами ареалу инфицированы симбиотической бактерией Wolbachia.

Как цитировать эту статью: Romanov D.A., Goryacheva I.I., Kosheleva O.V. 2024. *Aprostocetus neglectus* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) is the only species of Tetrastichinae wasps parasitizing the ladybird beetle *Adalia bipunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) // Invert. Zool. Vol.21. No.2. P.221–231. doi: 10.15298/invertzool.21.2.09

КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА: паразитизм, полиморфизм митохондриальной ДНК, поток генов, *Wolbachia*.

Introduction

Aprostocetus (Westwood, 1833) is among the largest genera of the Tetrastichinae subfamily (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), with a worldwide distribution. Aprostocetus wasps parasitize a diverse range of hosts including mites and insects such as butterflies, beetles, hemipterans, dipterans, hymenopterans, cockroaches, dragonflies, orthopterans, and thrips. Notably, parasitization by A. neglectus (Domenichini, 1957) and Aprostocetus sp. from Brazil on ladybird beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), has been documented (Graham, 1987; Togni et al., 2015).

Aprostocetus neglectus is found across Europe (France, Germany, Czech Republic, Austria, Hungary, Italy, Moldova, Ukraine, European part of Russia, Georgia), North Africa (Morocco) and Asia (Turkey, Israel, Iran, Tajikistan, Pakistan, Korea, Russia (Primorye Territory)) (Trjapitzin, Kostjukov, 1978; Graham, 1987; Storozheva et al., 1995; Muştu, 2010). A. neglectus was also introduced to North America during the introduction of the ladybird Chilocorus kuwanae (Silvestri, 1909) from Korea and Japan to the USA, first discovered in Delaware and Maryland in 1988–1989, and subsequently in New Jersey and North Carolina (Hendrickson et al., 1991; Nalepa et al., 1993; LaSalle, 1994; Matadha et al., 2003). As a gregarious endoparasitoid with a koinobiont larva (Kosheleva, Kostjukov, 2014) A. neglectus targets several ladybird species including Chilocorus bipustulatus (Linnaeus, 1758), C. bijugus (Mulsant, 1853), C. kuwanae, Exochomus quadripustulatus (Linnaeus, 1758), Scymnus subvillosus (Goeze, 1777), and Adalia bipunctata (Linnaeus, 1758) (Graham, 1987; Romanov, Matveikina, 2022). The parasitization of A. neglectus in Coccinella septempunctata (Linnaeus, 1758) (Richerson, 1970; Graham, 1987) refuted by V.P. Semyanov (Semyanov, 1981; Shaefer, Semyanov, 1992). Females of A. neglectus lay eggs in the larvae of ladybird beetles during their 3rd and 4th instars. Infected larvae of the ladybird pupate and subsequently die, with A. neglectus larvae development to the imago stage ranging from 15 to 32 days, temperature dependent (the higher the temperature, the faster the development). Imagoes of the parasitoid wasps exit the host pupa through a dorsal opening (Ceryngier et al., 2012).

Adalia bipunctata is a holarctic species found in Western Europe, North Africa, Russia (European part, Caucasus, Siberia, Far East), West Asia, west part of South Asia, Central Asia, north part of East Asia, and North America. A. decempunctata (Linnaeus, 1758) is distributed in Europe to the Ural Mountains, North Africa,

 Table 1. Sampling of Adalia ladybird pupae and their infestation by Aprostocetus neglectus parasitic wasps.

 Таблица 1. Сборы куколок божьих коровок рода Adalia и их заражённость наездниками

Aprostocetus neglectus.

Location	Samulina's time	Heat's anapies	Ladybir	d pupae	Infostation 0/		
Location	Sampling s time	Host's species	collected	infected	intestation, 70		
Vologda	June 2022	A. bipunctata	517	16	3.1±0.76		
Yaroslavl	July 2023	A. bipunctata	232	16	6.9±1.66		
	Luna August 2020	A. bipunctata	701	28	4.0±0.74		
	June – August 2020 A. bipunctata 701 28 June 2021 A. decempunctata 118 3 June 2021 A hipunctata 192 9	3	2.5±1.44				
Moscow	June 2021	A. bipunctata	192	9	4.7±1.53		
	June – July 2022	A. bipunctata	180	7	3.9±1.44		
	June – July 2023	A. bipunctata	385	9	2.3±0.76		
Yalta	June 2018	A. bipunctata	24	5	20.8±8.28		

West Asia, and west part of South Asia. Both species are aphidophagous, and inhabit trees, shrubs, and sometimes grasses (Kuznetsov, 1991; Zakharov, 2023).

The aim of this study was to identify parasitic wasps emerging from *A. bipunctata* and *A. decempunctata* pupae collected across several European Russian cities, and to clarify the list of host species for Tetrastichinae wasps.

Materials and methods

The imagoes of *Aprostocetus neglectus* were obtained from the pupae of the ladybird beetles *Adalia bipunctata* and *A. decempunctata*. The sampling of ladybird pupae was conducted in urban plantations across several locations: in Vologda (Oktyabrskaya St., Torgovaya Square, Prechistenskaya Embankment, Veterans of Labour Park, Tchaikovsky Square), during 2022, Yaroslavl (Sovetskaya St., Pervomayskaya St., Bolshaya Oktyabrskaya St., Krasnoperekopskaya St.) (2023), Moscow (Saranskaya St., Privolnaya St., Zhulebinsky Boulevard, General Kuznetsov St., Gubkin St., Fersman St.) (2020–2023), and Yalta (Lenin Embankment) in 2018 (Table 1).

In central Russia, a sampling of *Adalia* spp. pupae occured in June on various plant species including bird cherry *Prunus padus*, *Philadelphus* sp., *Cotoneaster* sp., apple *Malus* sp., cherry *Cerasus* sp., during July–August — on lime trees *Tilia* sp.; in Yalta — on *Tamarix* sp. Ladybirds pupae attached to plant leaves were placed in plastic Petri dishes with a diameter of 2 cm until the imagoes of the parasitic wasps emerged. These imagoes of *A. neglectus* were preserved in 98% ethanol.

The study also incorporated samples of another *Aprostocetus* species — *A. xanthopus* (Nees, 1834) collected from the Kalacheyevsky district of the Voronezh Region in April 2022. Data on *A. xantho*-

pus were not available in the GenBank and BOLD databases.

Total DNA isolation from the imagoes of *A. neglectus* and *A. xanthopus* was performed using a phenol-chloroform extraction method following a standard protocol (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989).

The amplification reaction with each DNA preparation was conducted in a volume of 25 µl using the universal Encyclo Plus PCR kit (Evrogen, Russia) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. All PCR was performed on a MiniAmp Plus amplifier (Applied Biosystems, USA). Primers LCO1490 and HCO2198 were used to amplify a fragment of the mitochondrial cox1 gene (Folmer et al., 1994). Amplification conditions consisted of an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 4 minutes, followed by 5 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 20 s, annealing at 45 °C for 20 s, and polymerization at 72 °C for 40 s, then 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 20 s, annealing at 55 °C for 20 s, and polymerization at 72 C for 40 s. PCR was completed by final polymerization for 5 min at 72 °C. The ITS2 region was amplified using primers complementary to the 5.8S and 28S rRNA (Porter, Collins, 1991). PCR conditions for these two primers sets were: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 4 min; then 35 cycles: denaturation at 94 °C for 20 s, annealing at 55°C for 20 s, and polymerization at 72 °C for 40 s; final polymerization at 72 °C for 5 min. The search for symbiotic bacteria Wolbachia was carried out by the ftsZ gene with ftsZ-F1ms primers (5'-ATTATGGAGCATATAAAAGATAG-3') and ftsZ-R1ms (5'-TCAAGCAATGGATTAGATAT-3') amplifying a fragment with a length of 524 bp, and by the *fbpA* gene with fbpA-F1ms primers (5'-GCT-GCTCCACTTGGTATGAT-3') and fbpA-R1ms (5'-CCACCAGAAAAAACTACTATTC-3'), amplifying a fragment with a length of 509 bp. Amplification conditions as described for ITS2. Each pair of primers was applied separately.

The PCR results were analyzed by electrophoresis in 1.0% agarose gel. The elution of selected DNA fragments from the gel was performed using a DNA extraction kit from agarose gels Cleanup Mini (Evrogen, Russia) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The purified DNA fragments were transferred to the Syntol (Russia) for sequencing. The nucleotide sequences obtained as a result of sequencing were registered in the GenBank database under the numbers OR977965–OR977984 (for mtDNA) and PP516378–PP516379 (for ITS2). The mitochondrial haplotypes of *A. neglectus* H1–H6 obtained earlier were registered in GenBank under the numbers OL889903–OL889908. Haplotypes of *A. xanthopus* are registered in GenBank under the numbers OR977985–OR977986.

Chromatograms of nucleotide sequences were analyzed using the DNASTAR Lasergene 6 software package (Clewley, 1995; Burland, 2000).

The calculation of genetic distances (according to the Kimura model) and the creation of phylogenetic trees (using the Neighbor-Joining method, the Kimura evolutionary model) were carried out in the MEGA11 program (Tamura *et al.*, 2021).

To construct a common dendrogram, sequences of the mitochondrial gene *cox1* of all representatives of the genus *Aprostocetus*, identified to species level, were used in the GenBank and BOLD databases.

Photos of imagoes parasitoids were performed using a Canon EOS 70D digital camera mounted on an Olympus SZX10 microscope (Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia). Some parts of the samples were placed in Canadian balsam and their photographs were taken using a modular stereomicroscope ZEISS SteREO Discovery.V12 and AxioCam MRc3 cameras (All-Russian Institute of Plant Protection, St. Petersburg, Pushkin, Russia).

Results

Systematics

Order Hymenoptera Linnaeus, 1758 Suprafamily Chalcidoidea Latreille, 1817 Family Eulophidae Westwood, 1829 Subfamily Tetrastichinae Foerster, 1856

Aprostocetus neglectus (Domenichini, 1957) Fig. 1–6.

DIAGNOSIS. The body is black, without yellow patches. The marginal vein of the forewing is 3.9–4.2 times longer than the radial vein. The pivoting segment of the antennae is equal to or slightly longer than the first segment of the antennal flagellum. The thorax is 1.5 times longer than its width. The shield of the mesonotum is without a longitudinal median furrow, with a row of 3-4 bristles along the inner side of each parapsidal furrow. The scutellum of the mesonotum is convex, the median furrows are weak. The forewings are about 2 times longer than their width; submarginal vein of the forewing has 4–5 bristles on the dorsal side; the marginal vein is 3.9–4.2 times longer than the radial vein, with 10–12 marginal bristles; the length of the postmarginal vein ranges from 0.3–0.5 of the length of the radial vein. The abdomen is short-oval, 1.2–1.5 times longer than its width, rather flat, equal to or slightly shorter than the total length of the head and thorax; the last tergite of the abdomen is 2–3 times wider than its length, the sheath of the ovipositor almost protrudes. Body length 1.2–1.5 mm.

ECOLOGICAL ROLE.

Most eulophids perform a useful function, since their hosts are phytophages—agricultural and forestry pests. However, some species of this family have a negative effect, since they parasitize beneficial insects, including *A. neglectus*, which reduces the beneficial activity of predatory ladybirds.

The infection rate of *A. bipunctata* pupae by *A. neglectus* in the central part of Russia (Vologda, Yaroslavl, and Moscow) varies from 2.3 to 6.9% (Table 1). In the Crimea, this value is higher and can reach up to 20.8%; however, it is considered unreliable due to the small sample size (Table 1).

From 2 to 26 imagoes of *A. neglectus* can emerge from a single pupa of *Adalia* ladybirds (Table 2).

Both males and females are present among the imagoes of *A. neglectus* that emerged from a host pupa, but males are fewer in number. In two cases, the male and female imagoes were recorded: 1) 10 females and 1 male (pupa of *A. bipunctata*, Moscow, 2023), 2) 19 females and 5 males (pupa of *A. bipunctata*, Yaroslavl, 2023). Females and males are able to copulate with each other almost immediately after leaving the pupa of a ladybird.

Typically, 11 to 16 parasitoid imagoes emerge. The eclosion of more than 18 A. neglectus imagoes from one pupa of the host (since such events are infrequent) may result from the laying of different numbers of eggs in the larva of the host by one female, and from superparasitism (infection of a single host by multiple females of the same species). To investigate these hypotheses, 5 imagoes were selected from A. neglectus broods, comprising 16-18 individuals (sampling in 2020, Moscow) and 19-26 individuals (sampling in 2023, Yaroslavl). DNA was isolated from imagoes and PCR with primers for the *cox1* gene was carried out to determine their mitochondrial haplotypes. Since offspring inherit mitochondrial DNA from the mother, identifying identical haplotypes in all imagoes from the same host pupa supports the first hypothesis, while identifying different haplotypes supports the second. In only one case (a brood of 21 A. neglectus imagoes in Yaroslavl), carriers of two different haplotypes (H21 and H22) were found among the descendants.



Fig. 1–6. *Aprostocetus neglectus* (Domenichini, 1957), habit view and details of the morphology. 1–2 — the female's habit: 1 — lateral view; 2 — dorsal view; 3 — the female's antenna, 4 — the female's genitals; 5 — the male's antenna; 6 — the female's forewing.

Рис. 1–6. *Aprostocetus neglectus* (Domenichini, 1957), общий вид и детали строения. 1–2 — габитус самки: 1 — вид сбоку; 2 — вид сверху; 3 — антенна самки, 4 — гениталии самки; 5 — антенна самца; 6 — переднее крыло самки.

Nonetheless, the high diversity of mitochondrial haplotypes in *A. neglectus* implies that finding identical haplotypes in imagoes from other broods indicates their origination from a single female.

All *A. neglectus* imagoes from Yalta, Moscow, Yaroslavl, and Vologda are infected with the same line of the *Wolbachia* bacterium from supergroup A. The results of multilocus typing of this *Wolbachia* line by the *gatB*, *coxA*, *hcpA*, *ftsZ*, and *fbpA* genes were performed by us earlier (Shaikevich, Romanov, 2023) and are not presented in this work. The *Wolbachia* bacterium has not been detected in *A. xanthopus*.

MITOCHONDRIAL DNA POLYMORPHISM

In total, 26 mitochondrial haplotypes were identified in *A. neglectus* imagoes that emerged from 28 different ladybird pupae with H1 found twice and H11 three times). Of these, H1 and H2 haplotypes were found in Yalta; H3–H8 and H24–H26 were found in Moscow; H11–H23 were found in Yaroslavl, and H9, H10 — in Vologda. No haplotypes common to samples from different cities were identified (Table 3).

The intrapopulation diversity in *A. neglectus* is very high; the number of identified haplotypes nearly matches the number of tested broods of the parasitoid, suggesting a uniform distribution of haplotype frequencies in populations.

The values of genetic distances in *A. neglectus* ranged from 0.2 to 4.9% (Table 3), with a value of 4.8% recorded in parasitoids collected from neighboring trees along the same street (haplotypes H13 and H16

Number of	Number of such	Ladybird species	Location			
A. neglectus imagoes	events		N 2020			
2	2	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
4	1	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
5	2	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
	1	A. decempunctata	Moscow, 2020			
6	1	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
7	1	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
8	3	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
8	1	A. decempunctata	Moscow, 2020			
9	1	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
10	2	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
10	1	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2023			
11	4	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
	1	A. decempunctata	Moscow, 2020			
11	1	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2023			
	1	A. bipunctata	Yaroslavl, 2023			
12	1	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
	2	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
13	1	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2023			
	6	A. bipunctata	Yaroslavl, 2023			
14	1	A. bipunctata	Yaroslavl, 2023			
15	4	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
16	2	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
10	2	A. bipunctata	Yaroslavl, 2023			
17	1	A. bipunctata	Yaroslavl, 2023			
10	2	A. bipunctata	Moscow, 2020			
18	1	A. bipunctata	Yaroslavl, 2023			
19	1	A. bipunctata	Yaroslavl, 2023			
21	1	A. bipunctata	Yaroslavl, 2023			
24	1	A. bipunctata	Yaroslavl, 2023			
26	1	A. bipunctata	Yaroslavl, 2023			

 Table 2. The number of Aprostocetus neglectus imagoes emerged from a single pupa of Adalia ladybirds.

 Таблица 2. Количество имаго Aprostocetus neglectus, выведенных из одной куколки коровок рода Adalia.

from Yaroslavl, Sovetskaya St.). At the same time, the sequences of the second internal transcribed spacer ITS2 in all individuals of *A. neglectus* were identical, affirming their classification as a single species.

A comparison of *A. neglectus* with other representatives of the genus *Aprostocetus* revealed that *A. venustus* and *A. gala* were the closest species among those analyzed (Fig. 7).

Discussion

According to literary sources, *Adalia bipunctata* ladybirds are considered hosts for three species of Tetrastichinae wasps: *Aprostocetus neglectus*, *Oomyzus scaposus* (Thomson, 1878), and *Tetrastichus epilachnae* (Giard, 1896) (Richerson, 1970; Romanov, Matveikina, 2022). However, we analyzed the parasitic wasps that emerged from 93 *Adalia* pupae collected in different locations, and all of them belonged to the single species *A. neglectus*.

Parasitization of *A. neglectus* on *Adalia bipunctata* was previously documented only once in Dresden in July 1967. Out of 1,111 collected pupae, 103 pupae were infected by a parasitoid (9.3±0.87%). The minimum number of *A. neglectus* imagoes that emerged from a single pupa of the host was 3, while the maximum was 14 (Klausnitzer, 1969). Our observations align with Klausnitzer's data, serving to complement them.

Infection of *A. bipunctata* pupae by *O. scaposus* parasitoids has been noted in several studies (Iperti, 1964; Lipa, Semyanov, 1967). However, when sampling pupae of different coccinellid species (*A. bipunctata* and *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas, 1773) in Feodosia, *A. bipunctata* and *C. septempunctata* in Moscow) on the same or neighbouring plants, we never observed parasitization of *O. scaposus* on *A. bipunctata*, unlike with the other two species of ladybirds (Romanov, 2018; Romanov, Matveikina, 2022).

																				Η	24	13
																			Η	23	5	8
																		Η	22	5	10	13
																	Η	21	11	9	11	15
																Η	20	10	~	т	5	11
															Η	19	11	~	13	~	13	13
														Η	18	11	10	10	11	9	11	15
													Η	16	15	16	11	15	13	~	13	10
												Η	15	15	18	16	15	15	16	11	16	18
											Η	14	15	9	11	13	~	11	10	5	10	9
										Η	13	48	45	48	47	45	40	47	41	43	45	48
									Η	12	48	10	13	13	11	13	~	11	10	5	10	13
								Η	11	9	45	9	13	10	~	10	5	~	9	7	9	10
							Η	10	35	35	49	35	30	36	33	35	36	33	35	33	38	38
						Η	6	33	5	~	43	8	11	11	9	11	9	10	~	m	8	11
					Η	8	5	35	б	9	45	9	13	10	~	10	5	~	9	7	9	10
				Η	5	3	5	35	m	9	45	9	13	10	~	10	5	~	9	7	9	10
			Η	9	ω	б	5	31	ω	9	41	9	13	10	~	10	5	8	ω	0	9	10
		Η	4	~	11	11	13	40	11	15	47	15	21	18	16	18	10	16	11	10	11	18
	Η	7	30	24	28	28	26	19	28	28	38	28	26	30	26	28	30	26	28	26	31	31
Η	- 1	30	13	5	5	5	9	33	5	~	47	~	15	11	10	11	9	10	~	ω	8	11
		H2	H4	H6	H7	H8	6H	H10	H11	H12	H13	H14	H15	H16	H18	H19	H20	H21	H22	H23	H24	H25

Table 3. The genetic distances $(p \times 10^3)$ of *Aprostocetus neglectus* mitochondrial haplotypes. Таблица 3. Генетические расстояния (p×10³) митохондриальных гаплотипов Aprostocetus neglectus. Note. To determine the genetic distances, a fragment of the cox1 gene with a length of 630 bp was used, so 4 haplotypes (H3, H5, H17, H26) were not included in the table, because their sequences of the required length were not obtained.

Примечание. Для определения генетических дистанций использовался фрагмент гена *сох1* размером 630 п.н., поэтому в таблицу не вошло 4 гаплотипа (H3, H5, H17, H26), для которых последовательности необходимой длины не были получены.



Fig. 7. Comparison of *Aprostocetus neglectus* with other species of the genus *Aprostocetus*. To construct the dendrogram, nucleotide sequences from the BOLD database (Barcode of Life Database) of all *Aprostocetus* wasps, identified to species level, were used. The nucleotide sequences marked with black circles were obtained by the authors. *Nasonia vitripennis* sequence was used as an external group. A fragment of the *cox1* gene with a length of 590 bp was used to build the tree.

Рис. 7. Сравнение Aprostocetus neglectus с другими видами рода Aprostocetus. Для построения дендрограммы были использованы нуклеотидные последовательности из базы данных BOLD (Barcode of Life Database) всех ос рода Aprostocetus, определённых до вида. Нуклеотидные последовательности видов, отмеченных чёрными кружками, были получены авторами. В качестве внешней группы использована последовательность Nasonia vitripennis. Для построения дерева использовался фрагмент гена cox1 размером 590 п.н.

Since the morphological definition of Tetrastichinae parasitoids is difficult, earlier information (Iperti, 1964; Lipa, Semyanov, 1967) may have been incorrect. It is obvious that the selectivity of host selection observed for *A. neglectus* and *O. scaposus* parasitic wasps is not random and indicates a much narrower specialization of these parasitoids than previously assumed.

The parasitization of *T. epilachnae* on *A. bipunctata* was mentioned in the works of European researchers published in 1924 and 1943 (Richerson, 1970), when *A. neglectus* had not yet been described, so this information cannot be entirely reliable. *T. epilachnae* has been identified as a parasitoid of herbivorous ladybird beetles from the Epilachnini tribe (*Henosepilachna argus* (Geoffory in Fourcroy, 1762), *Subcoccinella vigintiquatuorpunctata* (Linnaeus, 1758)), with members of this tribe remaining its primary hosts (Hansson, Schmidt, 2020).

We noted 8 events out of 50 when the number of *A. neglectus* imagoes emerging from the ladybird's pupa exceeded 16 individuals (Table 2). However, in only one case it was the result of eggs being laid by different females. It has been noted that *O. scaposus* females can lay eggs up to three times in the same host (Semyanov, 1986). Consequently, the females of *A. neglectus* exhibit similar behavior. Even in the case of superparasitism, where a simultaneous emergence of parasitoids occurs, it can be assumed that the "instinct error" of females has very narrow boundaries: they cannot distinguish between a larva of a ladybird that was infected only a few hours earlier and an uninfected one.

Mitochondrial DNA sequence analysis is widely used in phylogenetic studies and often allows the identification of cryptic species (Gokhman, 2018). In various families of parasitic Hymenoptera, there have been descriptions of individuals with differing chromosome numbers within populations that appear identical (Gokhman, 2005), indicating that genetic and morphological divergence levels can be unequal. The mtDNA polymorphism we discovered in A. *neglectus* (based on the *cox1* gene sequences) reaches values that are typically indicative of subspecies and twin species (Kartavtsev, 2013). However, given the absence of differences in the nucleotide sequences of the ITS2 spacer, and considering that significantly different mitochondrial haplotypes (e.g., H1 and H2 in

Yalta, H9 and H10 in Vologda, H13 and H16 in Yaroslavl) occur in imagoes sharing the same habitats and parasitizing the same host species, we see no basis for distinguishing subspecies.

It should also be noted that we have not identified haplotypes common to different populations. This observation suggests that populations of A. neglectus occupy small areas with no gene flows between them, essentially making them isolates -populations with complete reproductive isolation from other populations of the same species. The absence of gene flows leads to an increase in population polymorphism (Logue et al., 2015; Oh, Oh, 2022). However, instances have been recorded where populations exhibit high levels of mtDNA polymorphism without reproductive isolation (Dai et al., 2017). Thus, our hypothesis remains preliminary and requires further validation, potentially through the analysis of several nuclear genes.

The symbiotic bacterium Wolbachia can serve as an additional source of data used to identify species. Wolbachia in A. neglectus belongs to supergroup A, in O. scaposus belongs to supergroup B (Shaikevich, Romanov, 2023), and it is absent in A. xanthopus. The Wolbachia strain from A. neglectus proved to be very similar to that from one of two Homalotylus sp. This Homalotylus sp. is parasitized in Chilocorus renipustulatus (Scriba, 1791) and Calvia quaturodecimguttata (Linnaeus, 1758) ladybirds. In another *Homalotylus* sp., parasitizing in C. septempunctata, Wolbachia from supergroup B was found, which differs from Wolbachia of O. scaposus (Shaikevich, Romanov, 2023). Since Wolbachia often proved to be species-specific, the detection of identical bacterial strains in individuals that differ greatly in mtDNA will indicate that they belong to the same species (as in A. neglectus), and the identification of different strains of Wolbachia will indicate the presence of diverse species. For instance, two species of Homalotylus genus, with a genetic distance of 6%, calculated by the *cox1* gene, are infected with different strains of Wolbachia.

Conclusion

In the pupae of *A. bipunctata* and, possibly, *A. decempunctata* ladybirds, only *A. neglectus* among Tetrastichinae wasps have been observed to parasitize. This parasitoid does not pose a significant threat to the populations of A. bipunctata and A. decempunctata, as it infects only a small fraction of individuals (2.3-6.9%). This limited infection rate may be attributed to the fact that A. bipunctata and A. decempunctata, belonging to the Coccinellini tribe, are not typical hosts for the development of A. neglectus larvae, which more commonly parasitize species from the Chilocorini tribe. The reproductive isolation of A. neglectus populations is underscored by the absence of shared mitochondrial haplotypes, likely contributing to the notable increase in intraspecific polymorphism, with the maximum genetic distance for the *cox1* gene reaching up to 4.9%. Both male and female A. neglectus are infected with the Wolbachia bacterium, though the significance of this infection for the species remains to be fully understood.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements. The work of D.A. Romanov and I.I. Goryacheva was carried out with the financial support of the project (state registration number: 0092-2022-0002). The theme of the state assignment is "Genetic technologies in biology, medicine and agriculture".

We are grateful to the English Proofreading Experts company for editing the authors' English text.

References

- Burland T.G. 2000. DNASTAR's Lasergene sequence analysis software // Methods Mol. Biol. Vol.132. P.71–91. https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59259-192-2:71
- Ceryngier P., Roy H.E., Poland R.L. 2012. Natural enemies of ladybird beetles // I. Hodek, H.F. van Emden, A. Honek (eds.). Ecology and behaviour of the ladybird beetles (Coccinellidae). Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell. P.375–443. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118223208.ch8
- Clewley J.P. 1995. Macintosh sequence analysis software. DNASTAR's Lasergene // Mol. Biotechnol. Vol.3. P.221–224. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02789332
- Dai C., Hao Y., He Y., Lei F. 2017. The absence of reproductive isolation between non-sister and deeply diverged mitochondrial lineages of the black throated tit (*Aegithalos concinnus*) revealed by multilocus genetic analysis in a contact zone // BMC Evol. Biol. Vol.17. No.1. Art.266. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-017-1114-9
- Folmer O., Black M., Hoeh W., Lutz R., Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates // Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol. Vol.3. P.294–299.
- Gokhman V.E. 2005. [Karyotypes of parasitic Hymenoptera]. Moscow: KMK Scientific Press Ltd. 185 p. [In Russian with English summary]

- Gokhman V.E. 2018. Dimensions and borderlines of parasitoid Hymenoptera species: a paradigm shift?//Biol. Bull. Rev. Vol.8. No.3. P.227–233. https://doi.org/10.1134/ S2079086418030052
- Graham M.W.R. de V. 1987. A reclassification of European Tetrastichinae (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), with a revision of certain genera // Bull. Brit. Mus. Nat. Hist. Vol.55. No.1. P.1–392.
- Hansson C., Schmidt S. 2020. A revision of European species of the genus *Tetrastichus* Haliday (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) using integrative taxonomy // Biodivers. Data J. Vol.8. Art.e59177. https://doi.org/10.3897/ BDJ.8.e59177
- Hendrickson R.M., Drea J.J., Rose M. 1991. A distribution and establishment program for *Chilocorus kuwanae* (Silvestri) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in the United States // Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash. Vol.93. No.1. P.197–200.
- Iperti G. 1964. Les parasites des Coccinelles aphidiphages dans les Alpes-Maritimes et les Basses-Alpes // Entomophaga. Vol.9. No.2. P.153–180.
- Kartavtsev Yu.F. 2013. [Genetic divergence of species and other taxa. Geographic species-formation and genetic paradigma of neodarwinism at work] // Usp. sovrem. biol. Vol.133. No.5. P.419–451 [in Russian with English summary].
- Klausnitzer B. 1969. Zur Kenntnis der Entomoparasiten mitteleuropäischen Coccinellidae // Abh. Ber. Naturk. Görlitz. Bd.44. H.9. S.1–15.
- Kosheleva O.V., Kostjukov V.V. 2014. Types of parasitism in eulophid wasps (Hymenoptera, Eulophidae)// Entomol. Rev. Vol.94. No.9. P.1202–1217. https://doi.org/10.1134/ S0013873814090024
- Kuznetsov V.N. 1991. [Family Coccinellidae, Ladybird beetles] // P.A. Lehr (ed.). Opredelitel' nasekomykh Dal'nego Vostoka SSSR. Vol.3. Coleoptera. Part 2. Leningrad: Nauka. P.333–376 [in Russian].
- Lipa E.Yu., Semyanov V.P. 1967. [Parasites of coccinelids (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in Leningrad Region] // Entomol. Obozr. Vol.46. No.1. P.75–79 [in Russian].
- LaSalle J. 1994. North American genera of Tetrastichinae (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) // J. Nat. Hist. Vol.28. No.1. P.109–236. https://doi.org/10. m1080/00222939400770091
- Logue K., Small S.T., Chan E.R., Reimer L., Siba P.M., Zimmermann P.A., Serre D. 2015. Whole-genome sequencing reveals absence of recent gene flow and separate demographic histories for *Anopheles punctulatus* mosquitoes in Papua New Guinea // Mol. Ecol. Vol.24. No.6. P.1263–1274. https://doi.org/10.1111/ mec.13107
- Matadha D., Hamilton G.C., Hughes M.G., Lashomb J.H. 2003. Distribution of natural enemies of euonymus scale, Unaspis euonymi (Comstock) (Homoptera: Diaspididae), in New Jersey // Environ. Entomol. Vol.32.No.3.P.602–607.https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-32.3.602
- Muştu M., Kilinçer N., Kaydan B., Joposhvili G. 2010. [Preliminary investigations on larvae and pupae parasitoids of Coccinellidae family in Ankara Province] // Yuz. Yil Univ. J. Agricult. Sci. Vol.20. No.1. P.1–5 [in Turkish with English summary].
- Nalepa C.A., Drea J.J., Bryan M.D. 1993. Release and establishment of *Chilocorus kuwanae* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in North Carolina // J. Entomol. Sci.

Vol.28. No.3. P.287–290. https://doi.org/10.18474/0749-8004-28.3.287

- Oh A., Oh B.-U. 2022. Genetic differentiation that is exceptionally high and unexpectedly sensitive to geographic distance in the absence of gene flow: insights from the genus *Eranthis* in EastAsian regions // Ecol. Evol. Vol. 12. No.6. Art.e9007. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.9007
- Porter C.H., Collins F.H. 1991. Species-diagnostic differences in a ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer from the sibling species *Anopheles freeborni* and *Anopheles hermsi* (Diptera: Culicidae) // Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. Vol.45. No.2. P.271–279. https://doi.org/10.4269/ ajtmh.1991.45.271
- Richerson J.V. 1970. A world list of parasites of Coccinellidae // J. Entomol. Soc. Brit. Col. Vol.67. P.33–48.
- Romanov D.A. 2018. Finding of parasitoids *Phalacrotophora* fasciata (Diptera: Phoridae) and *Oomyzus scaposus* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) in pupas of *Harmonia* axyridis of the Crimea // Rus. J. Biol. Invas. Vol.9. No.1. P.83–85. https://doi.org/10.1134/S2075111718010150
- Romanov D.A., Matveikina E.A. 2022. Harmonia axyridis (Pallas, 1773) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in the cities of Moscow and Yalta: its competition with native species of coccinellids and the influence of parasitoids on its number // Rus. J. Biol. Invas. Vol.13. No.1. P.87–104. https://doi.org/10.1134/S207511172201012X
- Sambrook J., Fritsch E.F., Maniatis T. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. New York, Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. 1626 p.
- Schaefer P.W., Semyanov V.P. 1992. Arthropod parasites of *Coccinella septempunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae); worlds parasite list and bibliography // Entomol. News. Vol.103. No.4. P.125–134.
- Semyanov V.P. 1981. [New data on parasites and predators of *Coccinella septempunctata* L. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)] // V.I. Tobias (ed.). Problemy obshchei

entomologii. Trudy Vsesoyuznogo Entomologicheskogo Obshchestva. Leningrad: Nauka. Vol.63. P.11–14 [in Russian].

- Semyanov V.P. 1986. Parasites and predators of *Coccinella septempunctata* // I. Hodek (ed.). Ecology of Aphidophaga. Prague: Academia & Dordrecht: Dr. W. Junk. P.525–530.
- Shaikevich E.V., Romanov D.A. 2023. Symbiotic Wolbachia bacteria in coccinellid parasitoids: genetic diversity, horizontal transfer, and recombination // Int. Microbiol. Vol.26. P.269–280. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10123-022-00295-0
- Storozheva N.A., Kostjukov V.V., Efremova Z.A. 1995. [Family Eulophidae] // P.A. Lehr (ed.). Opredelitel' nasekomykh Dal'nego Vostoka Rossii. Vol.4. Hymenoptera. Part 2. Vladivostok: Dalnauka. P.291–505 [in Russian].
- Tamura K., Stecher G., Kumar S. 2021. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11 // Mol. Biol. Evol. Vol.38. No.7. P.3022–3027. https:// doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120
- Togni P.H.B., Souza L.M., Siscu P.R., Costa V.A., Amaral D.S.S.L., Franco A.C., Sujii E.R., Venzon M. 2015. Coccinellidae parasitoids in Brazil: neglected species in a mega-diverse country//Neotrop. Entomol. Vol.44. No.5. P.528–532. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-015-0309-2
- Trjapitzin V.A., Kostjukov V.V. 1978. [Family Eulophidae] // G.S. Medvedev (ed.). Opredelitel' nasekomykh Evropeiskoi chasti SSSR. Vol.3. Hymenoptera. Part 2. Leningrad: Nauka. P.381–467 [in Russian].
- Zakharov I.A. 2023. [Genetics and evolution of *Adalia* ladybird beetle populations]. Moscow: Vash Format. 162 p. [In Russian]

Responsible editor K.G. Mikhailov