

Flight activity of rove beetles (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) in the agricultural landscape in the Leningrad Region

Лётная активность стафилинид (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) в агроландшафте Ленинградской области

O.G. Guseva, A.G. Koval
О.Г. Гусева, А.Г. Коваль

All-Russian Institute of Plant Protection, Podbelskogo 3, St. Petersburg, Russia. E-mail: olgaguseva-2011@yandex.ru
Всероссийский НИИ защиты растений, шоссе Подбельского, 3, Санкт-Петербург, Россия.

KEY WORDS: agricultural landscape, biodiversity, species composition, window traps.

КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА: агроландшафт, биоразнообразие, видовой состав, оконные ловушки.

ABSTRACT. This paper presents the study of the flight activity of rove beetles by window traps in the different biotopes of agricultural landscape in the Leningrad Region. We found 50 rove beetles species of 30 genera in the fields and on the field margins as a result of window trapping. Flight intensity was high among *Atheta laticollis* (Steph.) (18.7% of the total number of collected specimens), *Amischa analis* (Grav.) (15.5%), *Philonthus addendus* Sharp (10.6%) and *Megarthritis nitidulus* Kraatz (9.9%). The highest species diversity is recorded in the agrocenosis of spring rape and on the field margins. Window traps are a promising method for studying biodiversity and abundance of rove beetles.

РЕЗЮМЕ. Проведено исследование лётной активности стафилинид в различных биотопах агроландшафта Ленинградской области с помощью оконных ловушек. Этим методом на полях и их обочинах было выявлено 50 видов стафилинид из 30 родов. Высокие показатели лётной активности отмечены для *Atheta laticollis* (Steph.) (18.7% от общего количества собранных особей), *Amischa analis* (Grav.) (15.5%), *Philonthus addendus* Sharp (10.6%) и *Megarthritis nitidulus* Kraatz (9.9%). Наибольшее видовое разнообразие отмечено в агроценозе ярового рапса и на обочинах полей. Показано, что оконные ловушки являются перспективным методом изучения биоразнообразия и обилия стафилинид.

Introduction

Rove beetles (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) are among the most numerous and, at the same time, insufficiently studied beetles in agricultural landscapes. Their ability to fly is crucial for their survival. First, they are able to survive

the challenges posed by the landscape changes which originate in human agricultural activity. Second, it enables them to choose the habitats with the most favorable ecological conditions. Finally, it helps them to play an important role as predators of pests of agricultural plants.

Window traps are used to measure the rove beetles' flight activity. Window traps were first used in agricultural landscapes in Germany [Markgraf, Basedow, 2003], which helped reveal more than 80 species of these beetles. The same method was used for studying biotopic distribution of rove beetles of Tachyporinae subfamily in the Moscow Region [Semenov, 2012]. However, this method has never been used in the agricultural landscapes of the Leningrad Region. This paper aims to fill this gap by researching those species of rove beetles which have the highest levels of flight activity on different agricultural fields and their margins in the Leningrad Region.

Materials and methods

The species composition and the abundance of Staphylinidae were measured using window traps in 2018 and 2019. The work was carried out in the agricultural landscape of the Menkovo Research Station (MRS) of the Agrophysical Research Institute in the village of Menkovo (59°24'56.6" N, 30°02'03.9" E) of the Gatchina District in the Leningrad Region.

The window traps are made out of plastic trays with the plexiglass (transparent plastic) plates (size 500x350x4 mm) mounted atop. These traps are filled with 500 ml of a 50% propylene glycol solution. The traps were installed in fields of perennial grasses (clover, timothy grass), rye, spring barley, vetch-oat mixture, spring rape, and in adjacent semi-natural habitats — the field margins (the biotope combines herbaceous vegetation and shrubs).

The traps were installed in May and checked every 7 days until the ripening and harvesting of the crop began.

Altogether, 781 individuals of rove beetles were collected and determined. We identified most of the specimens ourselves. The work by Assing and Schülke [2012] was used to determine Staphylinidae. Some specimens were identified by V.I. Gusarov (Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Norway), and by A.V. Kovalev (All-Russian Institute of Plant Protection, St. Petersburg, Russia).

The species richness was estimated by Margalef index: $Dmg = (S - 1) / \ln N$, where S is the number of the

species recorded and N is the total number of individuals of all the species [Magurran, 1988].

Results and discussion

Window trapping in the MRS agricultural landscape revealed 50 species of rove beetles that belong to 30 genera, and to 8 subfamilies. The species richness is distributed in the various fields as follows: spring rape — 30 species, spring barley — 25, rye — 19, vetch-oat mixture — 16, grasses — 11 species (Table).

Table. Rove beetles collected by windows traps in agricultural fields and on the field margins.
Таблица. Стафилиниды, собранные оконными ловушками на полях и их обочинах.

Species	SF	Biotope					
		spring barley	vetch-oat mixture	spring rape	rye	clover, timothy grass	field margins
<i>Deliphrum tectum</i> (Paykull, 1789)	Om						12
<i>Anthophagus angusticollis</i> (Mannerheim, 1830)	Om						3
<i>Anthophagus caraboides</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Om						2
<i>Megarthus denticollis</i> (Beck, 1817)	Pr			2			
<i>Megarthus nitidulus</i> Kraatz, 1857*	Pr			76			1
<i>Proteinus laevigatus</i> Hochhuth, 1872	Pr	1		6			
<i>Carpelimus elongatulus</i> (Erichson, 1839)*	Ox		1	1			
<i>Carpelimus subtilis</i> (Erichson, 1839)*	Ox			1			1
<i>Anotylus nitidulus</i> (Gravenhorst, 1802)	Ox	4	1	6	2		1
<i>Anotylus rugosus</i> (Fabricius, 1775)	Ox	2		48	1		3
<i>Stenus lustrator</i> Erichson, 1839*	Sn		1				
<i>Rugilus rufipes</i> Germar, 1836	Pd	1					
<i>Atrecus affinis</i> (Paykull, 1789)*	St			2		1	1
<i>Gyrophypnus angustatus</i> Stephens, 1833	St			1	1		
<i>Xantholinus tricolor</i> (Fabricius, 1787)	St						1
<i>Othius volans</i> J. Sahlberg, 1876	St	1					
<i>Gabrius breviventer</i> (Sperk, 1835)	St	2	1	9	1	1	2
<i>Philonthus addendus</i> Sharp, 1867	St	30	6	38		8	1
<i>Philonthus carbonarius</i> (Gravenhorst, 1802)	St			1			
<i>Philonthus cognatus</i> Stephens, 1832	St	1		1	2		
<i>Philonthus concinnus</i> (Gravenhorst, 1802)	St	4	2	5	1	1	
<i>Philonthus pseudovarians</i> A. Strand, 1941	St	2	3	4			
<i>Philonthus laminatus</i> (Creutzer, 1799)	St				1	1	
<i>Philonthus nitidus</i> (Fabricius, 1787)*	St	1					
<i>Philonthus rotundicollis</i> (Ménétriés, 1832)	St				1		
<i>Philonthus succicola</i> Thomson, 1860	St	10	3	3	1	6	
<i>Ontholestes murinus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	St	1					
<i>Mycetoporus lepidus</i> (Gravenhorst, 1806)	Tp	1	1	1	1	1	2
<i>Ischnosoma splendidum</i> (Gravenhorst, 1806)	Tp						1
<i>Lordithon lumulatus</i> (Linnaeus, 1760)	Tp						2
<i>Lordithon thoracicus</i> (Fabricius, 1777)	Tp					1	
<i>Tachinus proximus</i> Kraatz, 1855	Tp						1
<i>Tachinus rufipes</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Tp		2	9			4
<i>Tachyporus chrysomelinus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Tp	1	1	6	2	1	
<i>Tachyporus hypnorum</i> (Fabricius, 1775)	Tp			2	1		
<i>Tachyporus nitidulus</i> (Fabricius, 1781)	Tp				1		

Species	SF	Biotope					
		spring barley	vetch-oat mixture	spring rape	rye	clover, timothy grass	field margins
<i>Oxypoda</i> sp.	Al			5			1
<i>Gyrophana</i> sp.	Al	3		1	1		2
<i>Zyras humeralis</i> (Gravenhorst, 1802)	Al						1
<i>Amischa analis</i> (Gravenhorst, 1802)	Al	58	7	24	9	2	21
<i>Aloconota gregaria</i> (Erichson, 1839)	Al	7		1			2
<i>Autalia rivularis</i> (Gravenhorst, 1802)*	Al	1					
<i>Atheta laticollis</i> (Stephens, 1832)	Al	16	26	83	12	2	7
<i>Atheta</i> sp.	Al	2					4
<i>Mocyta fungi</i> (Gravenhorst, 1806)	Al	7	2	5	2		4
<i>Dinaraea angustula</i> (Gyllenhal, 1810)	Al	2		2			3
<i>Aleochara bilineata</i> (Gyllenhal, 1810)	Al	2		3	3		
<i>Aleochara bipustulata</i> (Linnaeus, 1761)	Al	2	2	1	1		
<i>Aleochara curtula</i> (Goeze, 1777)	Al		1				
<i>Aleochara fumata</i> Gravenhorst, 1802*	Al			1			62
No of species		25	16	30	19	11	26
No of specimens		159	60	349	44	25	145
Dmg		4.73	3.67	4.95	4.76	3.11	5.02

SF — subfamily: Pr — Proteininae, Om — Omaliinae, Ox — Oxytelinae, Sn — Steninae, Pd — Paederinae, St — Staphylininae, Tp — Tachyporinae, Al — Aleocharinae; * — species were not collected by pitfall trapping.

SF — подсемейства: Pr — Proteininae, Om — Omaliinae, Ox — Oxytelinae, Sn — Steninae, Pd — Paederinae, St — Staphylininae, Tp — Tachyporinae, Al — Aleocharinae; * — виды, не собранные в почвенные ловушки.

Among the collected rove beetles, 17 species were collected on herbaceous vegetation using sweep net sampling [Guseva, Shpanev, 2019], and 42 have been found in pitfall traps during our previous investigations in the MRS agricultural landscape [Guseva, 2014, 2017, 2019; Guseva, Koval, 2015, 2017].

Some species (*Megarthritis nitidulus*, *Carpelimus subtilis*, *Atreacus affinis*, *Philonthus nitidus*) have never been found in the MRS agricultural landscape before. Thus, window traps may help reveal those species, which cannot be observed using any other methods of collection.

Most of the caught species (15) belong to the Staphylininae subfamily (see Table). Most of its representatives are active predators proficient in flying. *Philonthus* of a large size with a wide head and long mandibles are known to be the most active predators [Tikhomirova, 1973]. In addition, the width of the predator's head is known to be correlated with the size of its prey [ibid.]. *Philonthus succicola*, and *Ph. addendus* play the role of these predators in the MRS landscape. At the same time, *Ph. addendus* is one of the most numerous species: the share of this species is as large as 10.8% of the total number of Staphylinidae (Table).

Imago *Ph. succicola*, along with other representatives of this genera, is known to prey on fly larvae [Hinton, 1954]. It is likely also true for *Ph. addendus*, because imago of this genus were many times registered in experiments with rotting pig corpses populated with Dryomyzidae, Muscidae, and Piophilidae larvae [Jarosz et al., 2020].

The window traps caught 14 species of Aleocharinae, and 9 species of Tachyporinae. Predatory behavior is inherent to the representatives of these subfamilies [Tikhomirova, 1973; Assing, Schülke, 2012]. Aleocharinae includes the most numerous species (according to the results of windrow trapping) — *Atheta laticollis* (18.7% of a total number of specimens), and *Amischa analis* (15.5% respectively). These species were caught in a plant layer of the MRS agricultural landscape by sweeping [Guseva, Shpanev, 2019]. The peculiarities of their feeding and their role in the agricultural biocenoses still need further investigation.

The Oxytelinae subfamily includes 4 species, Proteininae and Omaliinae — 3, Steninae — 1, Paederinae — 1. Oxytelinae is known to have a varied nutrition, while Steninae is specialized to feed on moving prey [Tikhomirova, 1973]. Proteininae and Omaliinae are arguably connected with rotting organic substrates as well [Assing, Schülke, 2012]. Proteininae are also considered to be mycophagous and saprophagous, in other words, they tend to feed on decomposing products [Newton, 1984]. According to the results of windrow trapping, the Proteininae subfamily includes one of the most numerous species — *Megarthritis nitidulus* (9.9% of all specimens).

As the results of window trapping suggest, the highest number of species (30) is registered in the agrocenosis of spring rape and on the field margins (26), while the biodiversity (*Dmg*) peaks on the field margins reaching 5.02 (see Table). The lowest number of species and lowest *Dmg* values are registered in perennial grass

fields, which is caused by the interruption of investigation due to mowings.

Among the species caught by window trapping in the spring rape agrocenosis, 5 have never been found in pitfall traps. Pitfall trapping had only revealed 25 species of rove beetles throughout many years of research [Guseva, 2017]. *Aleochara bilineata*, and *Dinaraea angustula*, prevailed among them, while *Philonthus* spp. was also numerous, but only in the areas of thick grass. Window trapping, however, shows that completely different species are prevailing in this agrocenosis, namely — *Atheta laticollis*, *Megarthritis nitidulus*, and *Anotylus rugosus* (see Table).

Rove beetles of the genus *Philonthus* are known to feed readily on the rape blossom beetle (*Meligethes aeneus* Fabricius, 1775) larvae in the laboratory conditions [Guseva, 2017]. It might be the case that the presence of these pests together with the likely microclimate in the field is one of the factors to attract larger and better-flying predators such as *Philonthus addendus* (see Table).

Our results differ from those of a similar research which was held in central Germany. There, 84 staphylinid species were observed by window traps on the borders between fields of sugar beet and cereals in Germany, where the flight intensity was the highest in *Anotylus* spp. and *Tachyporus hypnorum* [Markgraf, Basedow, 2003]. The rove beetles collected by window traps in the North-West of Russia are different both in terms of their species composition and their abundance. Of the 50 rove beetles species which were observed by window traps in the conditions of the Leningrad Region, *Atheta laticollis*, *Amischa analis*, *Philonthus addendus*, and *Megarthritis nitidulus* demonstrated the highest flight activity. Of them, *M. nitidulus* has never been registered throughout the many years of research conducted using different methods. As a result, we claim that window trapping is a method which is fruitful for studying biodiversity and the abundance of Staphylinidae.

Acknowledgments. We are sincerely grateful to V.I. Gusarov (Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Norway) and A.V. Kovalev (All-Russian Institute of Plant Protection, St. Petersburg, Russia) for their help with material identification. The study was performed within the frames of the Russian State Research Project no. 0665-2018-0008.

References

- Assing V., Schülke M. 2012. Die Käfer Mitteleuropas. Band 4. Staphylinidae (exklusive Aleocharinae, Pselaphinae und Scydmaeninae). Zweite neubearbeitete Auflage. Heidelberg: Spektrum Akademischer Verl. S.I–XII, 1–560.
- Guseva O.G. 2014. [The rove beetle *Aloconota gregaria* Er. (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae) as a polyphagous predator in the agricultural landscapes of Northwestern Russia] // Plant Protection News. Vol.1. P.17–20 [in Russian, with English summary].
- Guseva O.G. 2017. [Ground-dwelling predatory arthropods in the agrocenosis of spring rape in Northwestern Russia] // Zashchita i karantin rasteniy. No.8. P.45–47 [in Russian, with English summary].
- Guseva O.G. 2019. [Investigation of biodiversity and distribution of rove beetles (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae) in the agricultural landscape in Northwestern Russia] // Acta Biologica Sibirica. Vol.5. No.1. P.12–18 [in Russian, with English summary].
- Guseva O.G., Koval A.G. 2015. Influence of soddy-podzolic soil improvement on the abundance and structure of complexes of epigeic predatory beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae, Staphylinidae) in Northwestern Russia // Entomological Review. Vol.95. No.8. P.1051–1060.
- Guseva O.G., Koval A.G. 2017. [Aleocharines (Staphylinidae, Aleocharinae) in agricultural landscapes with different soil in Northwestern Russia] // Informatsionnyy byulleten VPRS MOBB. No.52. P.99–103 [in Russian].
- Guseva O.G., Shpanev A.M. 2019. Rove beetles (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae) on agricultural landscapeherbaceous vegetation in the Leningrad Region // Russian Entomological Journal. Vol.28. No.4. P.373–376.
- Hinton H.E. 1954. A monograph of the beetles associated with stored products. London: British Museum Natural History. 443 pp.
- Jarmusz M., Grzywacz A., Bajerlein D. 2020. A comparative study of the entomofauna (Coleoptera, Diptera) associated with hanging and ground pig carcasses in a forest habitat of Poland // Forensic Science International. Vol.309. Art.110212. P.1–13.
- Magurran A.E. 1988. Ecological diversity and its measurement. Princeton: Princeton University Press. 192 pp.
- Markgraf A., Basedow T. 2003. Flight activity of predatory Staphylinidae in agriculture in central Germany // Journal of Applied Entomology. Vol.126. Iss.2/3. P.79–81.
- Newton A.F. 1984. Mycophagy in Staphylinoida (Coleoptera) // Q.D. Wheeler, M. Blackwell (eds.). Fungus-insect relationships: perspectives in ecology and evolution. New York: Columbia University Press. P.302–353.
- Semenov V.B. 2012. [Staphylinid beetles of the subfamily Tachyporinae (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) of the Moscow Region] // Eversmannia. Nos 29–30. P.30–39 [in Russian].
- Tikhomirova A.L. 1973. [Morphological features and phylogeny of the rove beetles (with catalog of the fauna of the USSR)]. Moscow: Nauka Publ. 192 pp. [In Russian]